12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 1 of 73

EXHIBIT 2

UNITED STATES BANKRUE	PTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF N	NEW YORK

	_	
)	
In re:)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,)	Chapter 11
, , <u>—</u> ,)	•
Debtors.)	Jointly Administered
)	•

DECLARATION OF LAUREN GRAHAM DELEHEY, IN-HOUSE LITIGATION COUNSEL AT RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, IN SUPPORT OF DEBTORS' OBJECTIONS TO PROOFS OF CLAIM NOS. 1 AND 440 FILED BY WENDY ALISON NORA AGAINST RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC AND RESIDENTIAL FUNDING COMPANY, LLC PURSUANT TO BANKRUPTCY CODE SECTION 502(b) AND BANKRUPTCY RULE 3007

I, Lauren Graham Delehey, hereby declare as follows:

1. I serve as In-House Litigation Counsel in the Legal Department at Residential Capital, LLC ("ResCap"), a limited liability company organized under the laws of the state of Delaware and the parent of the other debtors and debtors in possession in the above-captioned Chapter 11 cases (collectively, the "Debtors"). I have held this position since I joined ResCap on August 1, 2011. In my role as In-House Litigation Counsel at ResCap, I am responsible for the management of residential mortgage-related litigation, including class actions, mass actions and multi-district litigation. I am authorized to submit this declaration (the "Declaration") in support of the Debtors' Objection to Proofs of Claim Nos. 1 and 440 Filed by Wendy Alison Nora against Residential Capital, LLC and Residential Funding Company, LLC

The names of the Debtors in these cases and their respective tax identification numbers are identified on <u>Exhibit 1</u> to the Affidavit of James Whitlinger, Chief Financial Officer of Residential Capital, LLC, in Support of Chapter 11 Petitions and First Day Pleadings [Docket No. 6], dated May 14, 2012.

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 3 of 73

Pursuant to Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3007 (the "Objection").²

2. In my capacity as In-House Litigation Counsel, I am generally familiar with the Debtors' litigation matters, including the Wisconsin State Action, the Wisconsin Appeal, the District Court Action and the Seventh Circuit Appeal (all defined below). Except as otherwise indicated, all statements in this Declaration are based upon my personal knowledge; information supplied or verified by personnel in departments within the Debtors' various business units; my review of the Debtors' litigation case files, books and records as well as other relevant documents; my discussions with other members of the Legal Department; information supplied by the Debtors' consultants; or my opinion based upon experience, expertise, and knowledge of the Debtors' litigation matters, financial condition and history. In making my statements based on my review of the Debtors' litigation case files, books and records, relevant documents, and other information prepared or collected by the Debtors' employees or consultants, I have relied upon these employees and consultants accurately recording, preparing, collecting, or verifying any such documentation and other information. If I were called to testify as a witness in this matter, I would testify competently to the facts set forth herein.

A. The Nora Claims

3. On May 18, Nora filed the Claim No. 1 (the "Rescap Claim") against ResCap in the amount of \$10 billion as a general unsecured claim. On August 29, 2012, Nora filed Claim No. 440 (the "RFC Claim") against Residential Funding Company, LLC ("RFC") as a secured claim for \$119,000. Attached to the ResCap Claim is a copy of Nora's March 18, 2013 Amended Complaint against the Subject Debtors, some of the other Debtors and some

² Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Objection.

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 4 of 73

nondebtors in *Nora v. Residential Funding Company, LLC*, United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin (the "District Court"), Case No. 10-748 (the "District Court Action"). There was no attachment of any kind to the RFC Claim.

B. The Wisconsin State Action and Wisconsin State Appeals

- 4. Nora is a practicing lawyer who apparently is conversant with bankruptcy law. A review of the United States Pacer site discloses almost five pages of cases in which she has been counsel to consumer debtors. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the results of the United States PACER site search for the name "Nora, Wendy".
- 5. Some years ago, Nora took out a mortgage on her home (the Property") in The original mortgagee later assigned the mortgage to RFC. In 2009, RFC Wisconsin. commenced a foreclosure action in Dane County, Wisconsin, Circuit Court (Residential Funding Co., LLC v. Nora, Case No. 09-1096). Nora defended the Wisconsin State Action, but in February of 2010 the Wisconsin Circuit Court granted RFC's summary judgment motion; shortly afterwards, it also denied Nora's pending motion to dismiss. The Circuit Court entered a judgment of foreclosure in March of 2010 (the "Wisconsin State Court Judgment"). Copies of order granting RFC's summary judgment, denying Nora's motion to dismiss and the Wisconsin State Court Judgment are annexed hereto as Exhibit B. Nora did not appeal the Wisconsin State Court Judgment. Instead, later on she filed an untimely motion to vacate the foreclosure. The Circuit Court denied that motion. Nora unsuccessfully took two appeals to the Wisconsin intermediate appellate court, the Wisconsin Court of Appeals for the Fourth District. True and correct copies of the docket sheets from those appeals are attached hereto as Exhibits C and D. RFC then conducted the foreclosure sale and bid in the Property. The Circuit Court confirmed the sale in March of 2011. Nora has petitioned the Wisconsin Supreme Court for review. The Property was recently sold.

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 5 of 73

6. When Nora failed to abide by her promise to vacate the premises and remove her belongings by April 4, 2011 so that RFC could list the Property for sale, RFC had to have her evicted in September of that year. I understand that she left behind personal property and some water-damaged, moldy legal files, and that RFC discarded those files and had her personal property placed in storage. Only in May of 2013 was Nora's stored personal property auction off. As of that time, Nora had made no inquiry about her personal property or files.

C. The District Court Action and Seventh Circuit Appeal

- 7. On November 30, 2010, Nora commenced the District Court Action by filing her original complaint. A true and correct copy of the docket sheet in the District Court Action is attached hereto as Exhibit E. Before the defendants answered, she filed the Amended Complaint on March 1, 2011. This is the complaint that Nora attached to the ResCap Claim.
- 8. The defendants filed motions to dismiss on April 5, 2011. On September 30, 2012, the District Court granted the motions. A true and correct copy of the District Court's Opinion and Order is attached hereto as Exhibit F. It entered its judgment dismissing the District Court Action on October 2, 2012. A true and correct copy of the District Court's Judgment is attached hereto as Exhibit G. The District Court later denied Nora's motion under FRCP 60 to vacate its judgment and her motion for reconsideration. A true and correct copy of the Opinion and Order is attached hereto as Exhibit H. On March 27, 2013, Nora appealed these latter rulings and Opinion and the judgment to the Seventh Circuit. A true and correct copy of the docket sheet in Seventh Circuit appeal (the "Appeal Docket") is attached hereto as Exhibit I. In that appeal, No. 13-1660, the two parties have filed their opening briefs, but Nora failed to file her reply by the deadline. Briefing is therefore closed and the appeal is pending.

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 6 of 73

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: September 20, 2013

/s/ Lauren Graham Delehey
Lauren Graham Delehey
In-House Litigation Counsel for Residential
Capital, LLC

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 7 of 73

Exhibit A



Thu Jul 11 12:47:55 2013 245 records found

User: mf0071 P

Client: 99992-0000367-00407

Search: Bankruptcy Party Search Name nora, wendy All Courts Page: 1

Party Name	Court	Case	<u>Ch</u>	Date Filed	Date Closed	Disposition
1 Nora, Wendy (intp)	wawbke	3:03-bk-42198	13	02/28/2003	11/15/2005	Dismissed for Other Reason 05/31/2005
2 Nora, Wendy (db)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-14245	13	06/05/2003	10/23/2003	Dismissed for Other Reason 07/02/2003
3 Nora, Wendy A. (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-14025	11	06/01/2004	11/30/2005	Dismissed for Other Reason 10/25/2005
4 Nora, Wendy A. (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-14696	11	06/28/2004	01/04/2005	Dismissed for Other Reason 12/10/2004
5 Nora, Wendy A. (cr)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-17144	13	09/29/2003	05/27/2010	Standard Discharge 05/19/2010
6 Nora, Wendy A. (db)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-14245	13	06/05/2003	10/23/2003	Dismissed for Other Reason 07/02/2003
7 Nora, Wendy Ali (db)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-14245	13	06/05/2003	10/23/2003	Dismissed for Other Reason 07/02/2003
8 Nora, Wendy Ali (db)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-12610	13	04/12/2004	07/14/2004	Dismissed for Other Reason 05/17/2004
9 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:00-bk-35553	7	12/15/2000	04/06/2001	Standard Discharge 03/22/2001
10 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:00-bk-35555	7	12/15/2000	04/06/2001	Standard Discharge 03/29/2001
11 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:00-bk-35556	7	12/15/2000	03/27/2001	Standard Discharge 03/22/2001
12 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:01-bk-30375	7	01/23/2001	05/09/2001	Standard Discharge 05/03/2001
13 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	mnbke	4:89-bk-42922	7	06/22/1989	04/03/1997	Standard Discharge 10/31/1989
14 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	mnbke	4:11-bk-43494	13	05/18/2011	08/30/2011	Interdistrict Case Transfer
15 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	mnbke	3:11-bk-34550	7	07/13/2011		Standard Discharge 03/14/2012
16 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	mnbke	5:13-bk-50008	11	01/06/2013		Dismissed for Other Reason 06/05/2013
17 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:01-bk-30376	7	01/23/2001	05/29/2001	Standard Discharge 05/03/2001
18 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:01-bk-31689	7	03/30/2001	07/10/2001	Standard Discharge 07/05/2001
19 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:01-bk-31690	7	03/30/2001	09/11/2001	Standard Discharge 07/19/2001
20 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:01-bk-33935	7	07/05/2001	11/05/2001	Standard Discharge 10/18/2001
21 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	mnbke	5:13-ap-05007		03/01/2013	03/07/2013	Remanded to State Court
22 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	nysbke	1:12-bk-12020	11	05/14/2012		
23 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	nysbke	1:13-ap-01208		02/08/2013		
24 Nora, Wendy Alison (pla)	nysbke	1:13-ap-01208	-	02/08/2013	05/44/4000	Otandard Discharge 04/14/1003
25 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3;92-bk-30792	7	03/06/1992	05/11/1993	Standard Discharge 04/14/1993
26 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:92-bk-31014	7	03/23/1992	07/14/1992	Standard Discharge 07/06/1992
27 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:92-bk-31015	7	03/23/1992 03/23/1992	07/21/1992 07/23/1992	Standard Discharge 07/06/1992 Standard Discharge 07/06/1992
28 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:92-bk-31017	7 7	03/23/1992	10/23/2001	Standard Discharge 10/11/2001
29 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:01-bk-33936	7	07/05/2001	10/25/2001	Standard Discharge 10/11/2001
30 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:01-bk-33938 3:01-bk-34532	7	08/06/2001	11/30/2001	Standard Discharge 11/15/2001
31 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke wiwbke	3:01-bk-34532	7	08/06/2001	12/05/2001	Standard Discharge 11/12/2001
32 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)		1:12-bk-12020	11	05/14/2012	12/03/2001	Stalldard Discharge 11/22/2001
33 Nora, Wendy Alison (unk)	nysbke wiebke	2:04-bk-32541	13	08/27/2004	05/24/2005	Dismissed 02/08/2005
34 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiebke	2:11-bk-35689	13	10/14/2011	03/24/2003	Distilisacd 02/00/2000
35 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty) 36 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiebke	2:12-bk-24747	13	04/12/2012	12/11/2012	Dismissed for Other Reason 08/20/2012
37 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:92-bk-31299	7	04/13/1992	07/31/1992	Standard Discharge 07/23/1992
38 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:92-bk-31373	7	04/20/1992	08/19/1992	Standard Discharge 07/28/1992
39 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:92-bk-31529	13	05/01/1992	01/06/1993	Dismissed for Other Reason
40 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:92-bk-32459	7	07/23/1992	11/03/1992	Standard Discharge 10/28/1992
41 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:01-bk-34414	7	07/31/2001	06/22/2004	Standard Discharge 06/17/2004
42 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:01-bk-35665	7	10/02/2001	01/24/2002	Standard Discharge 01/10/2002
43 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:01-bk-37146	7	12/14/2001	11/30/2005	Standard Discharge 07/19/2002
44 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:01-bk-37387	11	12/28/2001	06/14/2004	Dismissed for Other Reason 12/18/2003
45 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiebke	2:12-ap-02412		06/17/2012		
46 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:00-bk-30193	13	01/18/2000	03/13/2001	Dismissed for Other Reason
47 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:00-bk-30445	13	02/04/2000	02/25/2002	Dismissed for Other Reason
48 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:00-bk-30446	11	02/04/2000	04/29/2002	Dismissed for Other Reason
49 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:92-bk-32460	7	07/23/1992	11/03/1992	Standard Discharge 10/28/1992
50 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:92-bk-33795	7	11/30/1992	05/27/1993	Standard Discharge 03/12/1993
51 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:92-bk-33949	7	12/21/1992	05/11/1993	Standard Discharge 04/01/1993
52 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:92-bk-33950	7	12/21/1992	05/11/1993	Standard Discharge 04/01/1993
53 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:91-bk-32110	7	06/17/1991	10/30/1991	Standard Discharge 09/26/1991
54 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:91-bk-31399	7	04/22/1991	08/30/1991	Standard Discharge 08/01/1991
					7/44/2042 42:47:56	

Receipt 07/11/2013 12:47:56 66584163

User mf0071 P

Client 99992-0000367-00407

Description Bankruptcy Party Search

Name nora, wendy All Courts Page: 1



Thu Jul 11 12:47:55 2013 245 records found

User: mf0071 P

Client: 99992-0000367-00407

Search: Bankruptcy Party Search Name nora, wendy All Courts Page: 2

Party Name	Court	Case	<u>Ch</u>	Date Filed	Date Closed	Disposition
55 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:91-bk-32307	7	07/02/1991	10/17/1991	Standard Discharge '
56 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:91-bk-32564	7	07/26/1991	09/25/1991	Discharge Not Applic
57 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:00-bk-30659	7	02/17/2000	05/31/2000	Standard Discharge (
58 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:00-bk-30660	13	02/17/2000	03/30/2004	Standard Discharge (
59 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:00-bk-32489	7	06/06/2000	09/29/2000	Standard Discharge (
60 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:00-bk-32490	7	06/06/2000	09/27/2000	Standard Discharge (
61 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:91-bk-32687	7	07/31/1991	11/25/1991	Standard Discharge '
62 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:91-bk-33436	7	10/18/1991	02/13/1992	Standard Discharge (
63 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:91-bk-34028	7	12/09/1991	03/25/1992	Standard Discharge (
64 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:91-bk-34029	7	12/09/1991	06/06/1994	Standard Discharge (
65 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:97-bk-33797	7	08/01/1997	11/12/1997	Standard Discharge '
66 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:97-bk-34203	7	08/27/1997	12/09/1997	Standard Discharge '
67 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:97-bk-34204	7	08/27/1997	12/12/1997	Standard Discharge '
68 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:97-bk-35225	7	10/27/1997	02/10/1998	Standard Discharge (
69 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:92-bk-33160	7	10/05/1992	01/21/1993	Standard Discharge (
70 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:92-bk-33161	7	10/05/1992	05/11/1993	Standard Discharge (
71 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-31181	7	05/04/1994	11/18/1994	Standard Discharge (
72 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-30629	13	03/15/1994	08/11/1994	Dismissed for Other I
73 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:97-bk-35359	13	11/04/1997	03/20/2001	Standard Discharge (
74 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:97-bk-35681	7	11/21/1997	03/03/1998	Standard Discharge (
75 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:97-bk-35682	7	11/21/1997	03/05/1998	Standard Discharge (
76 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:98-bk-30319	13	01/22/1998	01/13/2000	Dismissed for Other I
77 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:93-bk-31601	7	06/02/1993	09/29/1993	Standard Discharge (
78 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:93-bk-31602	7	06/02/1993	09/29/1993	Standard Discharge (
79 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:93-bk-32728	7	09/27/1993	01/26/1994	Standard Discharge (
80 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:93-bk-32729	7	09/27/1993	01/24/1994	Standard Discharge (
81 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:91-bk-34030	7	12/09/1991	03/23/1992	Standard Discharge (
82 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:91-bk-33215	7	09/27/1991	05/13/1994	Standard Discharge (
83 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:92-bk-30661	7	02/25/1992	06/10/1992	Standard Discharge (
84 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:92-bk-30662	7	02/25/1992	06/10/1992	Standard Discharge (
85 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-31288	13	05/13/1994	10/16/2000	Standard Discharge (
86 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-31288	13	05/13/1994	10/16/2000	Standard Discharge (
87 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-31476	7	06/01/1994	12/29/1994	Standard Discharge
88 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-32664	7	10/03/1994	12/27/1996	Standard Discharge (
89 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:93-bk-31894	7	07/01/1993	12/21/1993	Standard Discharge
90 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:93-bk-31895	7	07/01/1993	05/16/1994	Standard Discharge (
91 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:93-bk-31896	7	07/01/1993	10/20/1994	Standard Discharge (
92 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:93-bk-32184	7	08/02/1993	11/18/1993	Standard Discharge
93 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:98-bk-30391	7	01/26/1998	05/19/1998	Standard Discharge (
94 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:98-bk-30392	7	01/26/1998	06/02/1998	Standard Discharge (
95 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:98-bk-31238	7	03/11/1998	07/01/1998	Standard Discharge (
96 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:98-bk-31239	7	03/11/1998	06/26/1998	Standard Discharge (
97 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-33188	7	11/22/1994	04/12/1995 04/12/1995	Standard Discharge (Standard Discharge (
98 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-33189	7 7	11/22/1994 11/22/1994	01/10/1997	Dismissed for Other I
99 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-33190	13	12/05/1994	02/04/1997	Dismissed for Other I
100 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-33304	7	04/18/1996	08/21/1996	Standard Discharge (
101 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:96-bk-31543 3:96-bk-31726	13	04/16/1996	04/16/2002	Standard Discharge (
102 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke wiwbke	3:96-bk-31726 3:96-bk-31910	7	05/10/1996	09/12/1996	Standard Discharge (
103 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:96-bk-32725	7	07/08/1996	11/20/1996	Standard Discharge '
104 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:93-bk-33335	13	12/02/1993	05/12/1994	Dismissed for Other I
105 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-30713	7	03/23/1994	08/23/1994	Standard Discharge (
106 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty) 107 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-30714	7	03/23/1994	11/25/1994	Standard Discharge (
107 Nora, Werldy Alison (aty) 108 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-31049	7	04/22/1994	08/18/1994	Standard Discharge (
100 Hora, Menuy Misori (aty)	WINDING	5.0 1 DIC 0 10-10	,			

Receipt 07/11/2013 12:48:27 66584448

User mf0071 P

Client 99992-0000367-00407

Description Bankruptcy Party Search

Name nora, wendy All Courts Page: 2

You have previously been billed for this page.



Thu Jul 11 12:47:55 2013 245 records found

User: mf0071 P

Client: 99992-0000367-00407

Search: Bankruptcy Party Search Name nora, wendy All Courts Page: 3

Party Name	Court	Case	<u>Ch</u>	Date Filed	Date Closed	Disposition
109 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:98-bk-31870	7	04/13/1998	08/04/1998	Standard Discharge 07/23
110 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:98-bk-31871	7	04/13/1998	08/14/1998	Standard Discharge 07/23
111 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-15358	7	07/23/2004	12/05/2006	Standard Discharge 11/30
112 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-15359	7	07/23/2004	11/02/2004	Standard Discharge 10/28
113 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:96-bk-34713	7	11/13/1996	03/19/1997	Standard Discharge 02/20
114 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:96-bk-34714	7	11/13/1996	03/11/1997	Standard Discharge 02/20
115 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:96-bk-34423	7	10/25/1996	02/21/1997	Standard Discharge 01/30
116 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:97-bk-31408	7	03/25/1997	07/23/1997	Standard Discharge 07/03
117 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-33401	7	12/14/1994	04/13/1995	Standard Discharge 03/23
118 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:95-bk-31415	7	05/02/1995	09/01/1995	Standard Discharge 08/09
119 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:96-bk-30708	7	02/26/1996	09/08/1997	Standard Discharge 05/30
120 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:96-bk-30709	7	02/26/1996	06/17/1996	Standard Discharge 05/30
121 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-15360	7	07/23/2004	11/04/2004	Standard Discharge 10/28
122 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-15361	7	07/23/2004	11/02/2004	Standard Discharge 10/28
123 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-15645	13	08/04/2004	12/13/2007	Standard Discharge 08/01
124 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-16099	13	08/23/2004	08/24/2004	Interdistrict Case Transfer
125 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-31179	13	05/04/1994	10/02/1995	Standard Discharge 08/21
126 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-31180	7	05/04/1994	09/08/1994	Standard Discharge 08/11
127 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:02-bk-18134	13	12/16/2002	10/23/2003	Dismissed for Other Reason
128 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-10591	7	01/28/2003	05/21/2003	Standard Discharge 05/14
129 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:97-bk-30663	7	02/13/1997	06/12/1997	Standard Discharge 05/23
130 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:97-bk-30664	7	02/13/1997	06/19/1997	Standard Discharge 05/23
131 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:97-bk-32570	7	05/20/1997	09/05/1997	Standard Discharge 08/28
132 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:97-bk-32571	7	05/20/1997	09/05/1997	Standard Discharge 08/28
133 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:98-bk-32103	13	04/23/1998	02/19/1999	Dismissed for Other Reaso
134 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:98-bk-33183	13	06/19/1998	05/15/2000	Dismissed for Other Reas
135 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:98-bk-33201	7	06/22/1998	10/05/1998	Standard Discharge 09/29
136 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:98-bk-35068	7	10/09/1998	01/21/1999	Standard Discharge 01/12
137 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-16148	7	08/26/2004	12/15/2004	Standard Discharge 12/02
138 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-16152	7	08/26/2004	10/31/2007	Standard Discharge 10/26
139 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-16410	7	09/07/2004	01/20/2006	Standard Discharge 12/21
140 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-16411	7	09/07/2004	12/28/2004	Standard Discharge 12/21
141 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-12553	7	04/08/2003	08/12/2003	Standard Discharge 07/21
142 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-12555	7	04/08/2003	08/12/2003	Standard Discharge 07/21
143 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-13943	13	05/23/2003	10/23/2003	Dismissed for Other Reas
144 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-14640	13	06/20/2003	07/13/2004	Dismissed for Other Reas
145 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:97-bk-33591	13	07/18/1997	03/20/2003	Standard Discharge 03/12
146 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:97-bk-33796	7	08/01/1997	01/29/1999	Standard Discharge 11/13
147 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-10583	7	01/28/2004	05/11/2004	Standard Discharge 05/06
148 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-10918	7	02/12/2004	02/03/2006	Standard Discharge 01/12
149 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:98-bk-35069	7	10/09/1998	11/23/1999	Standard Discharge 11/16
150 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:99-bk-32818	7 7	06/11/1999	09/20/1999	Standard Discharge 09/15
151 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:99-bk-32819	7	06/11/1999	09/21/1999	Standard Discharge 09/14
152 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:99-bk-32821	7	06/11/1999	09/20/1999 03/22/2005	Standard Discharge 09/13 Standard Discharge 03/17
153 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke wiwbke	3:04-bk-18136 3:04-bk-18137	7	11/15/2004 11/15/2004	03/18/2005	Standard Discharge 03/17 Standard Discharge 03/07
154 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)		3:04-bk-18841	7	12/17/2004	04/05/2005	Standard Discharge 03/29
155 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty) 156 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke wiwbke	3:04-bk-18843	7	12/17/2004	04/05/2005	Standard Discharge 03/29 Standard Discharge 03/29
156 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty) 157 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-11899	13	03/18/2004	01/07/2005	Dismissed for Other Reas
158 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-12124	13	03/25/2004	12/09/2004	Dismissed for Other Reas
159 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-12500	7	04/08/2004	08/25/2004	Standard Discharge 08/11
160 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-12813	13	04/19/2004	05/13/2005	Dismissed for Other Reas
161 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:99-bk-33077	13	06/29/1999	02/16/2005	Standard Discharge 01/05
162 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:99-bk-34504	7	10/01/1999	09/13/2000	Standard Discharge 08/31
,				-		~

Receipt 07/11/2013 12:48:27 66584448

User mf0071 P

Client 99992-0000367-00407

Description Bankruptcy Party Search

Name nora, wendy All Courts Page: 3

You have previously been billed for this page.



Thu Jul 11 12:47:55 2013 245 records found

User: mf0071 P

Client: 99992-0000367-00407

Search: Bankruptcy Party Search Name nora, wendy All Courts Page: 4

Party Name	Court	Case	<u>Ch</u>	Date Filed	Date Closed	Disposition
163 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:02-bk-11940	13	03/28/2002	10/17/2002	Dismissed for Other Reason C
164 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:02-bk-15481	7	08/29/2002	12/13/2002	Standard Discharge 12/03/20(
165 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-15978	7	08/14/2003	12/16/2003	Standard Discharge 11/24/20(
166 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-15980	7	08/14/2003	12/16/2003	Standard Discharge 11/24/20(
167 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-16243	7	08/25/2003	11/06/2006	Discharge Not Applicable 01/0
168 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-16406	13	08/29/2003	01/26/2004	Dismissed for Other Reason 1
169 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-10046	13	01/04/2005	09/28/2005	Dismissed for Other Reason C
170 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-10584	13	01/29/2005	08/04/2006	Dismissed for Other Reason C
171 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-10664	13	02/02/2005	08/15/2005	Dismissed for Other Reason C
172 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-11510	7	03/07/2005	08/08/2005	Standard Discharge 06/29/200
173 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-14024	13	06/01/2004	11/10/2004	Dismissed for Other Reason C
174 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-14025	11	06/01/2004	11/30/2005	Dismissed for Other Reason 1
175 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-14273	7	06/10/2004	09/21/2004	Standard Discharge 09/16/20(
176 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-14274	7	06/10/2004	09/21/2004	Standard Discharge 09/16/20(
177 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:02-bk-15482	7	08/29/2002	12/13/2002	Standard Discharge 12/03/200
178 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:02-ap-00133		09/05/2002	03/14/2003	Dismissed or Settled Without I
179 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:11-bk-11474	13	03/14/2011	09/14/2011	Dismissed for Other Reason C
180 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:11-bk-14279	7	05/18/2011		Standard Discharge 08/28/20
181 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-14696	11	06/28/2004	01/04/2005	Dismissed for Other Reason 1
182 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-14698	11	06/28/2004	01/04/2005	Dismissed for Other Reason 1
183 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-14822	7	07/02/2004	09/30/2009	Discharge Not Applicable
184 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-14869	13	07/06/2004	11/30/2005	Standard Discharge 07/01/200
185 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-12025		03/23/2005	09/10/2008	Standard Discharge 04/04/200
186 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-12119	7	03/25/2005	07/12/2005	Standard Discharge 07/07/200
187 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-12122	7	03/25/2005	07/12/2005	Standard Discharge 07/07/200
188 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-12218	7	03/29/2005	08/09/2005	Standard Discharge 07/07/200
189 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-16522	13		06/08/2006	Standard Discharge 05/01/200
190 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-17144	13		05/27/2010	Standard Discharge 05/19/201
191 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-17181	7	09/30/2003	04/08/2004	Standard Discharge 01/07/200
192 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-10581	7	01/28/2004	12/21/2004	Standard Discharge 05/18/200
193 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	1:11-bk-14415	7	07/12/2011		•
194 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:11-bk-14476	7	07/14/2011		Standard Discharge 10/30/201
195 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:11-bk-17126	13	11/28/2011		-
196 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	1:11-ap-00328		11/28/2011		
197 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-12279	7	03/30/2005	12/18/2006	Standard Discharge 07/07/200
198 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-13328			08/19/2005	Dismissed for Other Reason C
199 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-13381	7		08/16/2005	Standard Discharge 08/09/200
200 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-13386	7	04/28/2005	07/21/2006	Standard Discharge 08/11/200
201 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-22171	7	10/15/2005	04/28/2006	Standard Discharge 03/31/200
202 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:08-bk-13244	13	06/24/2008	10/29/2008	Dismissed for Other Reason C
203 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:08-bk-15214	11	10/01/2008	07/14/2010	Dismissed for Other Reason C
204 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:08-bk-16728	7	12/19/2008	04/28/2009	Standard Discharge 04/23/200
205 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-13639	7	05/06/2005	08/24/2005	Standard Discharge 08/18/200
206 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-14605	13	06/09/2005	08/29/2007	Dismissed for Other Reason C
207 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-14768	13	06/15/2005	08/29/2007	Dismissed for Other Reason C
208 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-15541	7	07/14/2005	11/08/2005	Standard Discharge 11/01/200
209 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-13402	7	04/29/2005	11/29/2005	Standard Discharge 09/16/20(
210 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-13608	7	05/05/2005	05/26/2006	Standard Discharge 04/04/200
211 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:11-bk-17323	11	12/06/2011		-
212 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:12-bk-11213	11	03/07/2012		
213 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	1:12-ap-00160		08/15/2012		04/24/2013
214 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	1:12-bk-16616	11			
215 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:09-bk-10078	13	01/08/2009	04/01/2010	Standard Discharge 10/05/200
216 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:09-bk-10079	13	01/08/2009	01/08/2009	Discharge Not Applicable

Receipt 07/11/2013 12:48:27 66584448

User mf0071 P

Client 99992-0000367-00407

Description Bankruptcy Party Search

Name nora, wendy All Courts Page: 4

You have previously been billed for this page.



Thu Jul 11 12:47:55 2013 245 records found

User: mf0071 P

Client: 99992-0000367-00407

Search: Bankruptcy Party Search Name nora, wendy All Courts Page: 5

Party Name	Court	Case	Ch Date Filed	Date Closed	Disposition
217 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:09-bk-10809	11 02/17/2009	07/01/2009	Dismissed for Failure to File Information 06/17/2009
218 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:09-bk-13071	7 05/07/2009	05/26/2011	Discharge Not Applicable
219 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-15732	13 07/22/2005	03/03/2009	Standard Discharge 12/31/2008
220 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-16554	7 08/19/2005	11/29/2005	Standard Discharge 11/25/2005
221 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	1:05-bk-20727	11 10/13/2005		
222 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-21950	7 10/15/2005	02/14/2006	Standard Discharge 02/09/2006
223 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	1:13-ap-00042	03/05/2013		
224 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	1:13-ap-00043	03/05/2013		
225 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:13-bk-12984	13 06/13/2013		
226 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:01-bk-34414	7 07/31/2001	06/22/2004	Standard Discharge 06/17/2004
227 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:09-ap-00151	07/24/2009		Other
228 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:09-bk-15320	13 08/08/2009		Dismissed for Other Reason 10/18/2010
229 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	1:09-bk-16622	13 09/29/2009		Dismissed for failure to make plan payments 01/11/2013
230 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:10-bk-10732	13 02/04/2010		Dismissed for Other Reason 06/08/2012
231 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-21954	7 10/15/2005		Standard Discharge 02/09/2006
232 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-21962	7 10/15/2005		Standard Discharge 02/09/2006
233 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-21966	7 10/15/2005		Standard Discharge 07/03/2006
234 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-21985	7 10/15/2005	03/31/2006	Standard Discharge 02/16/2006
235 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	1:10-bk-12142	13 03/23/2010		
236 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	1:10-bk-15242	7 07/09/2010		Standard Discharge 10/14/2010
237 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-21993	7 10/15/2005		Standard Discharge 06/30/2006
238 Nora, Wendy Alison (aty)	wiwbke	3:05-bk-22005	7 10/15/2005		Standard Discharge 02/16/2006
239 Nora, Wendy Alison (cr)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-14822	7 07/02/2004		Discharge Not Applicable
240 Nora, Wendy Alison (db)	wiwbke	3:94-bk-31288	13 05/13/1994		Standard Discharge 09/14/1999
241 Nora, Wendy Alison (db)	wiwbke	3:03-bk-14245	13 06/05/2003		Dismissed for Other Reason 07/02/2003
242 Nora, Wendy Alison (db)	wiwbke	3:04-bk-12610	13 04/12/2004		Dismissed for Other Reason 05/17/2004
243 Nora, Wendy Alison (db)	wiwbke	1:09-bk-16622	13 09/29/2009	02/20/2013	Dismissed for failure to make plan payments 01/11/2013
244 Nora, Wendy Alison (db)	wiwbke	3;13-bk-12984	13 06/13/2013		
245 Nora, Wendy Alison (pla)	wiwbke	3:09-ap-00151	07/24/2009	05/06/2010	Other

Receipt 07/11/2013 12:48:27 66584448

User mf0071 P

Client 99992-0000367-00407

Description Bankruptcy Party Search

Name nora, wendy All Courts Page: 5

You have previously been billed for this page.

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 13 of 73

Exhibit B

Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 -12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 14 of 73 No. 1159

Mar. 3. 2010 11:21AM

CIRCUIT COURT Branch 10

DANE COUNTY

STATE OF WISCONSIN

Residential Funding Co., LLC,

Plaintiff.

Wendy Alison Nora,

Defendant.



Case No. 09CV1096



DECISION AND ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On June 29, 2009 defendant filed a "Motion to Dismiss Complaint With Prejudice For Fraud Upon The Court." She filed her brief in support of the motion on July 14, 2009, plaintiff responded on August 11, 2009 and defendant filed a reply brief on September 8, 2009. On September 29, 2009 defendant bankruptcy filing stayed further action in this case.

On January 6, 2010 plaintiffs filed an order entered on December 18, 2009 by the Bankrupcty Court granting plaintiff relief from the stay. On February 9, 2010 the court granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, implicitly denying or mooting defendant's motion to dismiss. By letter on February 19, 2010 plaintiff's counsel requested an explicit ruling on the motion to dismiss, as well as on plaintiff's motion for sanctions for defendant's filing of the motion to dismiss. On February 22, 2010 defendant filed a motion asking the court to delay acting on plaintiff's request for at least 45 days as an accommodation to a disability.

It is appropriate and will clarify the record for the court to issue a decision on the motion to dismiss. It is not necessary to delay the decision in order to accommodate defendant's disability, since all briefing on the matter was completed before defendant requested the accommodation and nothing remains but for the court to issue its decision. If the decision affects her proceedings before the Court of Appeals she may seek relief or accommodation from that court.

> DECISION 1

414-228-6506

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 /20/13 10 Pg 15 of 73 No. 1159 Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey

Mar. 3. 2010 11:21AM

Neither the motion to dismiss nor the brief in support of the motion were accompanied by supporting evidentiary affidavits, only by unauthenticated exhibits. Such exhibits and counsel's assertions of fact in a brief are not evidence. Consequently, there are no facts before the court on which the relief requested can be granted.

THEREFORE, the defendant's June 29, 2009 motion to dismiss is denied. Plaintiff's request for sanctions in connection with the motion is denied.

This order and the judgment of foreclosure executed this same date, are final orders under Wis, Stat. §808.03(1) and dispose of all matters in litigation between the parties for purposes of appeal.

Dated March 3, 2010.

Circuit Court Judge

Copies: Parties

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 16 of 73

Exhibit C

WCCA case search public domain citation search citation of official publishers search electronic filing filed documents help

Residential Funding Company LLC v. Wendy Alison Nora

Printable Version (PDF)

What is RSS? RSS

Appeal Number 2011AP000879

Court of Appeals District 4

CASE HISTORY

Status Court Filing Date Anticipated Due Date Activity PEND CA 09-17-2013 Remittitur

OCCD CA 05-21-2013 Attorney address updated

Comment: Address changed for attorney: 10191 Wendy A. Nora

Fee Paid OCCD SC 05-10-2013

Comment: Receipt No: 13R 001189

OCCD SC 05-10-2013 Petition for Review

Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 5-24-2013 Decision: (D) Deny Decision Date: 9-17-2013

ORD that the petition for review is denied, with \$50 costs.

Motion Response Filed By: David Potteiger Submit Date: 5-24-2013

OCCD CA 04-05-2013 Motion for Reconsideration

Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 4-8-2013 Decision: (D) Denv Decision Date: 4-10-2013

ORD that the motion for reconsideration is denied. Wis. Stat. Rule 809.24(2).

OCCD CA 03-18-2013 Opinion/Decision

Judge Panel: Kloppenburg, Lundsten, Blanchard Opinion: Summary Disposition Decision: Affirmed Pages: 4

Order Text: IT IS ORDERED that the orders are summarily affirmed pursuant to Wis. Stat. Rule 809.21,

OCCD CA 10-12-2012 Submitted on Briefs

Court Order OCCD CA 08-09-2012

IT IS ORDERED that, by September 7, 2012, respondent Residential Funding Company, LLC, shall file a response, as outlined above.

OCCD CA Sua Sponte

Filed By: Unassigned District 4 Submit Date: 8-1-2012 Decision: (O) Other Decision Date: 9-5-2012 ORD that this appeal may proceed. Motion Response Filed By: David Potteiger Submit Date: 8-30-2012 Motion Response Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 8-31-2012

08-01-2012

Comment: Letter from Wendy Nora

Comment: Not retained at law library

OCCD CA 05-25-2012 Briefs Received At State Law Library

OCCD CA 05-15-2012 Record and Briefs Sent to District 4 OCCD CA 05-11-2012 Reply Brief Reply Brief Filed By: Wendy Nora OCCD CA 05-09-2012 Motion for Relief Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 5-10-2012 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 5-11-2012 ORD that the reply brief is accepted despite its failure to conform to the rules, and shall be deemed timely filed as of the date of this order. Comment: Relief from Order Granting Motion for Extension of Time to file BRY and Denying Motion for Judicial Notice and Leave to File Supplemental Reply Appendix Motion for Miscellaneous Relief OCCD CA 04-30-2012 Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 5-1-2012 Decision: (D) Deny Decision Date: 5-4-2012 ORD that the motions for judicial notice and to file a supplemental appendix are denied. FRO that the time to file the reply brief is extended to May 7, 2012. Comment: Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Reply Appendix Motion to Take Judicial Notice OCCD CA 04-30-2012 Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 5-1-2012 Decision: (D) Deny Decision Date: 5-4-2012 ORD that the motions for judicial notice and to file a supplemental appendix are denied. FRO that the time to file the reply brief is extended to May 7, 2012. OCCD CA 04-30-2012 Motion to Extend Time Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 5-1-2012 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 5-4-2012 ORD that the motions for judicial notice and to file a supplemental appendix are denied. FRO that the time to file the reply brief is extended to May 7, 2012. See BRY event due on 5-7-2012 OCCD CA 04-13-2012 Brief of Respondent(s) Brief of Respondent Filed By: David Potteiger Comment: Please note that the certification pursuant to Rule 809.19(12)(f) has been added. Please include this certification in all briefs. OCCD CA 03-20-2012 Rejected Electronic Document Comment: Brief of Appellant: This e-file is being rejected since an "Amended Brief of Appellant" has been filed. OCCD CA 03-20-2012 Brief & Appx of Appellant(s) Brief of Appellant Filed By: Wendy Nora Comment: Separate Appendix. OCCD CA Motion for Miscellaneous Relief 03-13-2012 Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 3-14-2012 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 3-20-2012 ORD that we will accept the appellant's brief as having been timely filed as of the date of this order, subject to her filing the appendix within five business days. The time for the respondent to file its brief will also begin to run from the date of this order. Comment: Motion to Accept BAP without Appendix and MXT to file Appendix OCCD CA 01-10-2012 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 1-10-2012 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 1-25-2012 ORD that the appellant's medical records submitted in support of her latest extension motion shall remain under seal.

WS(12A12020-iringtoryDoc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 19 of 73

FRO that the time for the appellant to file her opening brief is extended to March 13, 2012. Comment: Motion to File HIPAA-Protected Medical Information Under Seal Motion to Extend Time OCCD CA 01-10-2012 Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 1-10-2012 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 1-25-2012 ORD that the appellant's medical records submitted in support of her latest extension motion shall remain under seal. FRO that the time for the appellant to file her opening brief is extended to March 13, 2012. See BAP event filed on 3-20-2012 Motion for Miscellaneous Relief OCCD CA 01-04-2012 Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 1-4-2012 Decision: (O) Other Decision Date: 1-25-2012 ORD that the appellant's medical records submitted in support of her latest extension motion shall remain under seal. FRO that the time for the appellant to file her opening brief is extended to March 13, 2012. Comment: Statement Response to Order of 12/22/11 Attorney address updated OCCD CA 12-28-2011 Comment: Address changed for attorney: 10191 Wendy A. Nora Court Order OCCD CA 12-22-2011 ORD that we will take no further action on Nora's email renewing her request to further extend her briefing deadline. Motion for Miscellaneous Relief OCCD CA 11-28-2011 Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 11-29-2011 Decision: (D) Deny Decision Date: 12-1-2011 ORD that the motion for reconsideration is denied. Comment: Objection to Order Pre-Emptively Ruling that Appellant is Entitled to No Further Extensions Attorney address updated OCCD CA 11-28-2011 Comment: Address changed for attorney: 37060 David M. Potteiger Attorney address updated OCCD CA 11-22-2011 Comment: Address changed for attorney: 37060 David M. Potteiger Motion to Take Judicial Notice OCCD CA 11-02-2011 Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 11-15-2011 Decision: (D) Deny Decision Date: 11-17-2011 ORD that both Requests for Judicial Notice are denied. FRO that the objection to our order, dated October 26, 2011, will not be acted upon until this court receives a signed copy of the document. Motion for Miscellaneous Relief OCCD CA 11-02-2011 Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 11-15-2011 Decision: (D) Deny Decision Date: 11-17-2011 ORD that both Requests for Judicial Notice are denied. FRO that the objection to our order, dated October 26, 2011, will not be acted upon until this court receives a signed copy of the document. Comment: Objection to Order Pre-Emptively Ruling that Appellant is Entitled to No Further Extensions Motion for Miscellaneous Relief OCCD CA 10-19-2011 Filed By: David Potteiger Submit Date: 10-19-2011 Decision: (D) Deny Decision Date: 10-26-2011 ORD that the motion for reconsideration is denied. Comment: Opposition to Amended Motion to Extend Time to File Appellant's Brief Motion to Extend Time OCCD CA 10-12-2011 Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 10-12-2011 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 10-18-2011

	ORD that t See BAP ev	the time to file the appellant's brief is extended to January 1 went due on 1-12-2012	2, 2012.
OCCD	Filed By: W Submit Dat Decision: (I Decision D ORD that t FRO that t	09-06-2011 /endy Nora te: 9-20-2011 D) Deny ate: 9-27-2011 the motion to supplement the record is denied. the motion to correct the record is denied. the time to file the appellant's brief is extended to October 1	Motion to Correct/Supplement Record
OCCD	Filed By: W Submit Dal Decision: (Decision D ORD that t	08-22-2011 /endy Nora te: 8-22-2011 G) Grant ate: 8-24-2011 :he time to file the appellant's brief is extended to Septembo vent due on 9-6-2011	Motion to Extend Time er 6, 2011.
OCCD		08-15-2011 Receipt No: 11R 002010	Fee Paid
OCCD		07-13-2011 SLD #101, 136-13, 146-5, 147-7	Sealed Documents
OCCD	CA	07-13-2011 1-2 to 167-1, separate box; SLD #101, 136-13, 146-5, 147-7	Record
OCCD		06-28-2011 Receipt No: 11R 001595	Fee Paid
OCCD		06-23-2011 orter Name: (Manke, Deborah)	Court Reporter's Statement-Trans.
OCCD	CA	06-20-2011	Notif. Sent - Filing of NOP & Ct. Rec.
OCCD	CA Comment:	06-20-2011 Add'l	Notice of Addtl/Amended (Other) Appeal
OCCD	CA	06-20-2011	Transcript filed in Circuit Court
OCCD		06-16-2011 filed by Atty. Nora that copies of notice of appeal, docketing	Certificate of Service g statement and attachments were sent to Atty. Potteiger,
OCCD	CA	06-16-2011	Docketing Statement from Appellant
OCCD	CA Comment:	06-16-2011 Add'l	Other Appeal Filed In Circuit Court
OCCD		06-01-2011 Vendy Nora dered	Statement on Transcript
OCCD		05-18-2011 New due date of connected SRT: 5-25-2011	Delinquent
OCCD	CA	04-22-2011	Case to proceed under RAP
OCCD	CA	04-19-2011	Notif. Sent-Filing of NAP & Ct. Record
OCCD	CA	04-19-2011	Docketing Statement Submitted
OCCD		04-19-2011 Receipt No: 11R 001002	Fee Paid

WS**12A 2020 Initiony**Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit &-5 of 5 Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 21 of 73

OCCD CA	04-19-2011	Notice of Appeal & Court Record
OCCD CA	04-14-2011	Notice of Appeal filed in Cir. Ct.
OCCD CA	04-14-2011	Docketing Statement from Appellant
OCCD CA	03-24-2011	Order of Circuit Court
OCCD CA	03-18-2011	Order of Circuit Court
OCCD CA	03-01-2011	Order of Circuit Court

Questions? Contact us.

terms of use | accuracy | briefs | rss

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 22 of 73

Exhibit D

case search

public domain citation search

citation of official publishers search

filed documents

WCCA

electronic filing

What is RSS?

Residential Funding Company LLC v. Wendy Alison Nora

Printable Version (PDF)

Appeal Number 2012AP001720

Court of Appeals District 4

CASE HISTORY

Filing Date

Anticipated Due Date

03-01-2013

Activity

Brief & Appx of Appellant(s)

PEND CA PEND CA

Status Court

07-11-2013

Remittitur

Comment: SUA Motion Pending

RECV CA

06-17-2013

Sua Sponte

Filed By: Unassigned District 4 Submit Date: 6-17-2013

Comment: Notice of Bankruptcy

OCCD CA

06-14-2013

Rejected Electronic Document

Comment: Brief of Appellant: The e-file is rejected pursuant to CTO 6/11/13; brief fails to comply with the requirement for record citations.

OCCD CA

05-21-2013

Attorney Change

Comment: Address updated for Atty. Nora

OCCD CA

05-21-2013

Attorney address updated

Comment: Address changed for attorney: 10191 Wendy A. Nora

OCCD CA

05-01-2013

Motion for Miscellaneous Relief

Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 5-1-2013 Decision: (G) Grant Decision Date: 5-6-2013

ORD that the time to submit a replacement brief is extended to May 15, 2013.

Comment: MXT to file brief per CTO 4/9/13

OCCD CA

04-09-2013

Court Order

ORD that the motion for reconsideration is held in abeyance. FRO that the appellant may submit another brief within twenty-one days of the date of this order. See MRC event filed on 3-26-2013

OCCD CA

03-26-2013

Motion for Reconsideration

Filed By: Wendy Nora Submit Date: 3-27-2013 Decision: (D) Deny Decision Date: 6-11-2013

ORD that the motion for reconsideration is denied.

This motion contains court order(s).

OCCD CA

03-19-2013

Rejected Electronic Document

Comment: Brief of Appellant: The e-file is rejected because the appeal was dismissed for appellant's failure to file a brief that complies with applicable rules.

OCCD CA

03-06-2013

Opinion/Decision

Judge Panel: Lundsten, Higginbotham, Blanchard

Opinion: Memo Opinion Decision: Dismissed Pages: 1

Order Text: IT IS ORDERED that this appeal is dismissed for appellant's failure to file a brief that complies with applicable rules.

OCCD	Filed By: Un Submit Dat Decision: (I	03-04-2013 nassigned District 4 :e: 3-4-2013 v) Dismiss ate: 3-6-2013	Sua Sponte
	ORD that t Comment:	his appeal is dismissed for accept BAP	appellant's failure to file a brief that complies with applicable rules.
OCCD		02-07-2013	Rejected Electronic Document
	Comment.	brief of Appellant. The e	ile is rejected. The appellant's brief was rejected per Ct. App. order dated 2/6/13.
OCCD	Filed By: Ur Submit Dat Decision: (O Decision Da ORD that t	ate: 2-6-2013	Sua Sponte Eted. The time to file the appellant's brief is extended to March 1, 2013.
OCCD	Filed By: W Submit Dat Decision: (C Decision Da ORD that	e: 12-21-2012 i) Grant ate: 1-4-2013	Motion to Extend Time ne to file the appellant's brief is extended to February 1, 2013.
OCCD	Filed By: W Submit Dat Decision: (C Decision Da ORD that th	e: 12-3-2012 i) Grant ite: 12-5-2012	Motion to Extend Time nt's brief is extended to December 21, 2012.
OCCD		11-27-2012 New due date of connec	Delinquent d BAP: 12-4-2012
OCCD		10-11-2012 168-4 to 188-1 (Docume	Record s 1 to 167 are filed under 11AP879)
OCCD	CA Filed By: Wo Status: Not		Statement on Transcript
OCCD		09-12-2012 New due date of connec	Delinquent d SRT: 9-19-2012
OCCD	CA	08-03-2012	Notif. Sent-Filing of NAP & Ct. Record
OCCD		08-03-2012 Receipt No: 12R 001829	Fee Paid
DCCD	CA	08-03-2012	Notice of Appeal & Court Record
OCCD	CA	07-31-2012	Docketing Statement from Appellant
OCCD	CA	07-30-2012	Notice of Appeal filed in Cir. Ct.
OCCD	CA	05-01-2012	Order of Circuit Court
	<u>to Case</u> le Version (F	PDF)	

Questions? Contact us.

terms of use | accuracy | briefs | rss

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 25 of 73

Exhibit E

Exhibit $2_{1-of 17}$

APPEAL, CLOSED, PRO SE

U.S. District Court Western District of Wisconsin (Madison) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 3:10-cv-00748-wmc

Wendy Alison Nora v. Residential Funding Company, LLC

et al.

Assigned to: District Judge William M. Conley Referred to: Magistrate Judge Stephen L. Crocker

Case in other court: 13-01660

Cause: 18:1961 Racketeering (RICO) Act

Date Filed: 11/30/2010

Date Terminated: 10/02/2012

Jury Demand: Plaintiff

Nature of Suit: 470 Racketeer/Corrupt

Organization

Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

Wendy Alison Nora

represented by Wendy Alison Nora

ACCESS LEGAL SERVICES

210 Second Street NE Minneapolis, MN 55413 (612) 333-4144

Fax: (952) 405-8691

Email: accesslegalservices@gmail.com

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

Residential Funding Company, LLC

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by Phillip Russell Perdew

Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell LLP

111 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

312-443-1712

Fax: 312-896-6712

Email: rperdew@lockelord.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Thomas J. Cunningham

Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell LLP

111 South Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606 312-443-1731

Fax: 312-896-6731

Email: tcunningham@lockelord.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number

represented by Phillip Russell Perdew

RASC2002KSSCONF

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

(See above for address) *LEAD ATTORNEY*

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Thomas J. Cunningham

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

GMAC-RFC Holding Company,

LLC

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by Phillip Russell Perdew

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Thomas J. Cunningham

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Residential Capital, LLC

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by Phillip Russell Perdew

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Thomas J. Cunningham

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

GMAC Mortgage Group, LLC

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by Phillip Russell Perdew

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Thomas J. Cunningham

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

GMAC Mortgage, LLC

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by Phillip Russell Perdew

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Thomas J. Cunningham

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

GMAC Financial Services

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by Phillip Russell Perdew

(See above for address) *LEAD ATTORNEY*

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Thomas J. Cunningham

(See above for address) *LEAD ATTORNEY*

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Mortgage Electronic Registration

Systems, Inc.

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by Phillip Russell Perdew

(See above for address) *LEAD ATTORNEY*

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Thomas J. Cunningham

(See above for address) *LEAD ATTORNEY*

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Aegis Mortgage Corporation

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

Defendant

Gray & Associates, LLP

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by Bryan Kenneth Nowicki

Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren, SC

22 East Mifflin Street, Suite 600

P.O. Box 2018

Madison, WI 53701

608-229-2200x2218

Fax: 608-229-2100

Email: bnowicki@reinhartlaw.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Jay Pitner

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by Bryan Kenneth Nowicki

(See above for address) *LEAD ATTORNEY*

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Michael Riley

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by Bryan Kenneth Nowicki

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

William N. Foshag

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by Bryan Kenneth Nowicki

(See above for address) *LEAD ATTORNEY*

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Bass & Moglowsky, S.C.

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by David M. Potteiger

Bass & Moglowsky, S.C. 501 West Northshore Drive

Suite 300

Milwaukee, WI 53217

414-228-6700

Email: david@basmog.com

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Arthur Moglowsky

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by David M. Potteiger

(See above for address)

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

David M. Potteiger

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by David M. Potteiger

(See above for address)

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Penny M. Gentges

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by David M. Potteiger

(See above for address)

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Jeffrey Stephan

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by Christopher R. Hall

Saul Ewing LLP

Centre Square West

1500 Market Street

38th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19102

215-972-7180

Fax: 215-972-1917

Email: chall@saul.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Gregory G. Schwab

Saul Ewing LLP

Centre Square West

1500 Market Street 38th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 215-972-7534 Fax: 215-972-4158 Email: gschwab@saul.com LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Kenneth Urgwuadu

represented by Phillip Russell Perdew

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Thomas J. Cunningham (See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Manish Verma

represented by Phillip Russell Perdew

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Thomas J. Cunningham (See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Amy Nelson

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

Defendant

Unnamed Co-Conspirators

Defendant

Homecomings Financial, LLC

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by Phillip Russell Perdew

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Thomas J. Cunningham (See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Ally Financial, Inc.

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by Phillip Russell Perdew

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Thomas J. Cunningham

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Cerebus Capital Management, LP

TERMINATED: 09/30/2012

represented by Phillip Russell Perdew

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Thomas J. Cunningham

(See above for address)

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
11/30/2010	1	Disregard - Complaint refiled as #4; (Filing Fee \$350, receipt number 0758-663058) filed by Wendy Alison Nora. Modified on 12/1/2010. (mmo) (Entered: 11/30/2010)
11/30/2010	2	Disregard - Contacted attorney on 12/1/2010, asked that caption be corrected and request for issuance of summons re-filed. (arw) (Entered: 11/30/2010)
11/30/2010	3	Corporate Disclosure Statement by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora (Nora, Wendy Alison) (Entered: 11/30/2010)
11/30/2010	4	COMPLAINT (CORRECTED FILING) of Wendy Alison Nora for RICO and FDCPA violations against Aegis Mortgage Corporation, Bass & Moglowsky, S.C., Cerebus Affiliates, William N. Foshag, GMAC Financial Services, GMAC Mortgage Group, LLC, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, GMAC-RFC Holding Company, LLC, Penny M. Gentges, Gray & Associates, LLP, Arthur Moglowsky, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., Amy Nelson, Jay Pitner, David M. Potteiger, RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF, Residential Capital, LLC, Residential Funding Company, LLC, Michael Riley, Jeffrey Stephan, Kenneth Urgwaudu, Manish Verma, Unnamed Co-Conspirators. (Filing Fee \$350, receipt number 0758-663058) filed by Wendy Alison Nora. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A: Aegis Mortgage Corporation Notice of Bankruptcy Filing, # 2 Exhibit B: Fraudulent Assignment of Mortgage from Bankrupt Aegis and MERS to RFC signed by robo-signer Jeffrey Stephan and his trainer Kenneth Urgwuadu of GMAC Mortgage, LLC prepared by Jay Pitner for purposes of taking Plaintiff's home under false pretenses, # 3 Exhibit C-1: Deposition of Robo-signer Jeffrey Stephen in the Maine case

		of GMAC v. Bradbury et al testifying to Stephan signing thousands of documents per day without reading them, # 4 Exhibit C-2: Partial Deposition of Robo-signer Jeffrey Stephan in Florida case of GMAC v. Neu, et al in which Stephan testifies that he that and Kenneth Urgwuadu were not MERS but were GMAC employees pretending to be authorized to sign on behalf of MERS but were GMAC employees pretending to be authorized to sign on behalf of MERS, # 5 Exhibit D: Copy of Plaintiff's promissory note endorsed Aegis Mortgage Corporation filed with the Dane County Register of Deeds and duplicated from that recording for filing in the Dane County Circuit Court in the racketeering enterprise's second attempt to foreclose on Plaintiff's home, # 6 Exhibit E: Forged and fraudulently photo shopped copy of the promissory concocted from Exhibit D purporting to endorse the promissory note in favor of RFC and robo-signed by Amy Nelson, an employee of RFC, who was not Assistant Vice President Bank of New York nor Assistant Vice President of RFC and had no power of attorney from either entity and presented to Plaintiff by David Potteinger in Dane County Circuit Court proceedings and filed by Penny Gentges in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of Wisconsin in support of the Motion to Lift the Automatic Stay in Plaintiff's bankruptcy proceedings, # 7 Exhibit F: MERS data which was deleted after Plaintiff attached it to a Motion to Dismiss in the state court foreclosure proceedings in order to conceal the true ownership of debt obligation by an unsecured loan pool fraudulently administered by RFC and not registered with the SEC) (Nora, Wendy Alison) Modified on 12/1/2010; Exhibit C is depo. of J. Stephan; notified filer that depositions should be filed separately in the future. (mmo) (Additional
		attachment added on 12/6/2010: # 8 JS-44 Civil Cover Sheet) (arw). (Entered: 11/30/2010)
12/01/2010		Case randomly assigned to District Judge William M. Conley and Magistrate Judge Stephen L. Crocker. (arw) (Entered: 12/01/2010)
12/01/2010		Standard attachments for Judge William M. Conley required to be served on all parties with summons or waiver of service: NORTC, Briefing Guidelines, Corporate Disclosure Statement. (arw) (Entered: 12/01/2010)
12/03/2010	<u>5</u>	Request for Issuance of Summons by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora. (Nora, Wendy Alison) (Entered: 12/03/2010)
12/06/2010	<u>6</u>	Summons Issued as to Residential Funding Company, LLC, Residential Capital, LLC, RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF, Aegis Mortgage Corporation, Ally Financial, Inc., Bass & Moglowsky, S.C., Cerebus Capital Management, LP, William N. Foshag, GMAC Financial Services, GMAC Mortgage Group, LLC, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, GMAC-RFC Holding Company, LLC, Penny M. Gentges, Gray & Associates, LLP, Homecomings Financial, LLC, Arthur Moglowsky, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., Amy Nelson, Jay Pitner, David M. Potteiger, Michael Riley, Jeffrey Stephan, Kenneth Urgwuadu, Manish Verma. (arw) (Entered: 12/06/2010)

03/01/2011	7	AMENDED COMPLAINT dated March 1, 2011 against Aegis Mortgage Corporation, Ally Financial, Inc., Bass & Moglowsky, S.C., Cerebus Capital Management, LP, William N. Foshag, GMAC Financial Services, GMAC Mortgage Group, LLC, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, GMAC-RFC Holding Company, LLC, Penny M. Gentges, Gray & Associates, LLP, Homecomings Financial, LLC, Arthur Moglowsky, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., Amy Nelson, Jay Pitner, David M. Potteiger, RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF, Residential Capital, LLC, Residential Funding Company, LLC, Michael Riley, Jeffrey Stephan, Unnamed Co-Conspirators, Kenneth Urgwuadu, Manish Verma, filed by Wendy Alison Nora. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A: Aegis Mortgage Corporation Notice of Bankruptcy Filing, # 2 Exhibit B: Fraudulent Assignment of Plaintiff's Mortgage from MERS to RFC, LLC, # 3 Exhibit C-1 Deposition of Robo-signer Jeffrey Stephen in the Maine case of GMAC v. Bradbury et al testifying to Stephan signing thousands of documents per day without reading them,
		# 4 Exhibit C-2 Deposition of Robo-Signor Jeffrey Stephan (excerpts) in Florida case of GMAC v. Neu admitting that he is not an employee or officer of MERS, # 5 Exhibit D: Plaintiff's Promissory Note Recorded with the Dane County Register of Deeds, # 6 Exhibit E: Forged Promissory Note without dates or warranties of authorities or attached claimed powers of attorney with allonge signed by RFC, LLC employee Amy Nelson purporting to be assistant vice-president of Bank of New York, successor in interest to JP Morgan Chase Bank, by power of attorney which also appears to make her Assistant Vice-President of RFC, LLC, # 7 Exhibit F: MERS record showing forged endorsements on Exhibit E) (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) Modified exhibit description on 3/2/2011 (mmo). (Entered: 03/01/2011)
04/05/2011	8	Notice of Appearance filed by Thomas J. Cunningham for Defendants Ally Financial, Inc., Cerebus Capital Management, LP, GMAC Financial Services, GMAC Mortgage Group, LLC, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, GMAC-RFC Holding Company, LLC, Homecomings Financial, LLC, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF, Residential Capital, LLC, Residential Funding Company, LLC, Kenneth Urgwuadu, Manish Verma (Cunningham, Thomas), (ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	<u>9</u>	Notice of Appearance filed by Phillip Russell Perdew for Defendants Ally Financial, Inc., Cerebus Capital Management, LP, GMAC Financial Services, GMAC Mortgage Group, LLC, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, GMAC-RFC Holding Company, LLC, Homecomings Financial, LLC, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF, Residential Capital, LLC, Residential Funding Company, LLC, Kenneth Urgwuadu, Manish Verma (Perdew, Phillip),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	<u>10</u>	MOTION TO DISMISS by Defendants Homecomings Financial, LLC,

		Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF, Residential Funding Company, LLC, Kenneth Urgwuadu, Manish Verma. (Cunningham, Thomas),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	11	Brief in Support of 10 Motion to Dismiss, by Defendants Homecomings Financial, LLC, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF, Residential Funding Company, LLC, Kenneth Urgwuadu, Manish Verma (Cunningham, Thomas),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	12	Disregard-refiled as entry 18; (Cunningham, Thomas),(ps) Modified on 4/5/2011; asked to refile with exhibits descriptions. (mmo). (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	13	MOTION TO DISMISS by Defendants Ally Financial, Inc., GMAC Financial Services, GMAC Mortgage Group, LLC, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, GMAC-RFC Holding Company, LLC, Residential Capital, LLC. (Cunningham, Thomas),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	14	Brief in Support of 13 Motion to Dismiss by Defendants Ally Financial, Inc., GMAC Financial Services, GMAC Mortgage Group, LLC, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, GMAC-RFC Holding Company, LLC, Residential Capital, LLC (Cunningham, Thomas),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	15	MOTION TO DISMISS by Defendant Cerebus Capital Management, LP. (Cunningham, Thomas),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	<u>16</u>	Brief in Support of <u>15</u> Motion to Dismiss by Defendant Cerebus Capital Management, LP (Cunningham, Thomas),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	<u>17</u>	MOTION TO DISMISS by Defendants Bass & Moglowsky, S.C., Penny M. Gentges, Arthur Moglowsky, David M. Potteiger. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Affidavit of Tammy Salvo, # 2 Exhibit Affidavit of David M. Potteiger) (Potteiger, David),(ps) Modified on 4/6/2011; asked to refile only affidavits as separate documents. (mmo). (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	18	Motion to Request Judicial Notice by Defendants Homecomings Financial, LLC, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF, Residential Funding Company, LLC, Kenneth Urgwuadu, Manish Verma. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1 - Complaint filed in Circuit Court for Dane County, Wisconsin on 3/3/2009, # 2 Exhibit 2 - Motion to Dismiss filed by Nora on 4/7/2009, # 3 Exhibit 3 - Addendum to Motion to Dismiss filed by Nora on 5/11/2009, # 4 Exhibit 4 - Order Denying Motion to Dismiss entered on 6/5/2009, # 5 Exhibit 5 - Answer filed by Nora on 6/7/2009, # 6 Exhibit 6 - Motion to Dismiss filed by Nora on 6/28/2009, # 7 Exhibit 7 - Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss filed by Nora on 7/14/2009, # 8 Exhibit 8 - Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss filed by Nora on 9/8/2009, # 9 Exhibit 9 - Motion to Supplement Answer filed by Nora on 1/14/2010,

		# 10 Exhibit 10 - Supplemental Answer filed by Nora on 1/14/2010, # 11 Exhibit 11 - Response to Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Nora on 1/14/2010, # 12 Exhibit 12 - Motion to Require Production of Original Note filed by Nora on 1/15/2010, # 13 Exhibit 13 - Amended Motion to Require Production of Original Note filed by Nora on 1/19/2010, # 14 Exhibit 14 - Order Granting Summary Judgment entered on 2/9/2010, # 15 Exhibit 15 - Order Denying Motion to Dismiss entered on 3/3/2010, # 16 Exhibit 16 - Judgment entered on 3/3/2010) (Cunningham, Thomas),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	<u>19</u>	Brief in Support of <u>17</u> Motion to Dismiss by Defendants Bass & Moglowsky, S.C., Penny M. Gentges, Arthur Moglowsky, David M. Potteiger (Potteiger, David),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011		Set Deadlines as to 10 MOTION TO DISMISS, 13 MOTION TO DISMISS, 15 MOTION TO DISMISS, 17 MOTION TO DISMISS, 18 Motion to Request Judicial Notice. Plaintiff's Brief(s) in Opposition due 4/26/2011. Defendants' Brief(s) in Reply due 5/6/2011. (elc),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	<u>20</u>	Notice of Appearance filed by David M Potteiger for Defendants Bass & Moglowsky, S.C., Penny M. Gentges, Arthur Moglowsky, David M. Potteiger (Potteiger, David),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	<u>21</u>	Notice of Appearance filed by Gregory G. Schwab for Defendant Jeffrey Stephan (Schwab, Gregory),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	22	Notice of Appearance filed by Christopher R. Hall for Defendant Jeffrey Stephan (Hall, Christopher),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	<u>23</u>	MOTION TO DISMISS by Defendant Jeffrey Stephan. (Schwab, Gregory), (ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	24	Brief in Support of <u>23</u> Motion to Dismiss by Defendant Jeffrey Stephan (Schwab, Gregory),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011		Set Deadlines as to <u>23</u> MOTION TO DISMISS. Plaintiff's Brief in Opposition due 4/26/2011. Defendant's Brief in Reply due 5/6/2011. (elc),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	25	Notice of Appearance filed by Bryan K. Nowicki for Defendants William N. Foshag, Gray & Associates, LLP, Jay Pitner, Michael Riley (Nowicki, Bryan), (ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	26	MOTION TO DISMISS by Defendants William N. Foshag, Gray & Associates, LLP, Jay Pitner, Michael Riley. (Nowicki, Bryan),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	27	Brief in Support of <u>26</u> Motion to Dismiss by Defendants William N. Foshag, Gray & Associates, LLP, Jay Pitner, Michael Riley (Nowicki, Bryan),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	28	Notice to Join 18 Motion to Request Judicial Notice by Defendants William N.

	v	Foshag, Gray & Associates, LLP, Jay Pitner, Michael Riley. (Nowicki, Bryan), (ps) Modified docket text on 4/6/2011 (mmo). (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011	<u>29</u>	Certificate of Service by Defendants William N. Foshag, Gray & Associates, LLP, Jay Pitner, Michael Riley (Nowicki, Bryan),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/05/2011		Set Deadlines as to <u>26 MOTION TO DISMISS</u> . Plaintiff's Brief in Opposition due 4/26/2011. Defendants' Brief in Reply due 5/6/2011. (elc),(ps) (Entered: 04/05/2011)
04/06/2011	<u>30</u>	Affidavit of Tammy Salvo filed by Defendants Bass & Moglowsky, S.C., Penny M. Gentges, Arthur Moglowsky, David M. Potteiger re: 17 MOTION TO DISMISS (Potteiger, David),(ps) (Entered: 04/06/2011)
04/06/2011	31	Affidavit of David Potteiger filed by Defendants Bass & Moglowsky, S.C., Penny M. Gentges, Arthur Moglowsky, David M. Potteiger re: 17 MOTION TO DISMISS (Potteiger, David),(ps) (Entered: 04/06/2011)
04/21/2011	<u>32</u>	Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Motions to Dismiss and File Motions for Judicial Notice by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora. Motions referred to Magistrate Judge Stephen L. Crocker. (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Service) (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) (Entered: 04/21/2011)
04/22/2011	33	** TEXT ONLY ORDER ** Plaintiff's 32 motion for an extension is granted, and defendants' reply deadline is extended commensurately. The parties should not expect additional extensions of these deadlines. Plaintiff's brief(s) in opposition due 5/5/2011. Defendants' briefs in reply due 5/16/2011. Signed by Magistrate Judge Stephen L. Crocker on 4/22/2011. (jef),(ps) (Entered: 04/22/2011)
05/02/2011	34	Affidavit of Service by Plaintiff. Ally Financial, Inc. served on 3/15/2011, answer due 4/5/2011; GMAC Mortgage Group, LLC served on 3/15/2011, answer due 4/5/2011; GMAC Mortgage, LLC served on 3/15/2011, answer due 4/5/2011; GMAC-RFC Holding Company, LLC served on 3/15/2011, answer due 4/5/2011; Homecomings Financial, LLC served on 3/15/2011, answer due 4/5/2011; Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. served on 3/15/2011 answer due 4/5/2011; Residential Capital, LLC served on 3/15/2011, answer due 4/5/2011; Residential Funding Company, LLC served on 3/15/2011, answer due 4/5/2011; Jeffrey Stephan served on 3/15/2011, answer due 4/5/2011; Kenneth Urgwuadu served on 3/20/2011, answer due 4/11/2011; Manish Verma served on 3/15/2011, answer due 4/5/2011. (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) (Entered: 05/02/2011)
05/02/2011	<u>35</u>	Affidavit of Service by Plaintiff. David M. Potteiger served on 3/15/2011, answer due 4/5/2011. (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) (Entered: 05/02/2011)
05/02/2011	<u>36</u>	Affidavit of Service by Defendants Bass & Moglowsky, S.C., William N. Foshag, Penny M. Gentges, Gray & Associates, LLP, Arthur Moglowsky, Jay Pitner, David M. Potteiger, Michael Riley (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) Modified docket text on 5/3/2011 (mmo). (Entered: 05/02/2011)
05/04/2011	<u>37</u>	Motion to Strike 17 MOTION TO DISMISS, 25 Notice of Appearance, Set Motion and R&R Deadlines/Hearings, 18 Motion to Request Judicial Notice,

	14 Brief in Support, 10 MOTION TO DISMISS, 11 Brief in Support in Support, Set Motion and R&R Deadlines/Hearings, 9 Notice of A 33 Text Only Order, 8 Notice of Appearance, 15 MOTION TO DISMISS, 20 Notice of Appearance in Support, 27 Brief in Support, Motion to Stay re 17 MOTION TO 25 Notice of Appearance, 3 Corporate Disclosure Statement, 18 Mot Request Judicial Notice, 14 Brief in Support, 10 MOTION TO DISMISS Brief in Support, 13 MOTION TO DISMISS, 16 Brief in Support, 9 Appearance, 15 MOTION TO DISMISS, 28 Notice (Other), 26 MO DISMISS, 20 Notice of Appearance, 19 Brief in Support, 27 Brief in by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Corporate Disclosure Statement filed by Defendant RFC Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals/NO CORPORATE DISCLOSURI STATEMENT FILED BY ANY CORPORATE DEFENDANT UN FRCP 7.1 IN THIS ACTION, # 2 Exhibit Record of Withdrawal of Assumed Name of GMAC Fin Services dated February 2, 2011 (Michigan Secretary of State)) (Not Alison),(ps) (Entered: 05/04/2011)	
05/04/2011	38	Certificate of Service by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora (Nora, Wendy Alison), (ps) (Entered: 05/04/2011)
05/05/2011	<u>39</u>	Brief in Opposition by Defendant Bass & Moglowsky, S.C. re: <u>37</u> Motion to Strike filed by Wendy Alison Nora (Potteiger, David),(ps) Modified text on 5/5/2011 (jef). (Entered: 05/05/2011)
05/05/2011	40	Corporate Disclosure Statement by Defendant Bass & Moglowsky, S.C. (Potteiger, David),(ps) (Entered: 05/05/2011)
05/05/2011	41	** TEXT ONLY ORDER ** The court is in receipt of plaintiff's 37 motion to strike corporate defendants' pleadings for failure to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1 and plaintiffs alternative motion to stay plaintiffs responses to corporate defendants pleadings. Plaintiffs 37 motion is DENIED. The court orders each corporate defendant to file a Corporate Disclosure Statement by Friday, May 13, 2011. (See Docket Entry for 12/01/2010 Standard Attachments for a blank form.) As plaintiff points out in her motion, the reason for the disclosure statement is to enable judges to evaluate possible disqualification or recusal. Although defendants should have filed disclosures with their motions to dismiss, the delay in filing does not prejudice plaintiff. Moreover, filing by the end of next week will provide ample time for the court to assess whether there are any interests at stake which would warrant recusal before consideration of the defendants' pending motions to dismiss. Signed by District Judge William M. Conley on 5/5/2011. (jef),(ps) (Entered: 05/05/2011)
05/05/2011	<u>42</u>	Corporate Disclosure Statement by Defendant Gray & Associates, LLP (Nowicki, Bryan),(ps) (Entered: 05/05/2011)
05/05/2011	43	Corporate Disclosure Statement by Defendants Ally Financial, Inc., GMAC Financial Services, GMAC Mortgage Group, LLC, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, GMAC-RFC Holding Company, LLC, Homecomings Financial, LLC, RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF, Residential Capital, LLC,

	Residential Funding Company, LLC (Perdew, Phillip),(ps) (Entered: 05/05/2011)	
05/05/2011	44	Corporate Disclosure Statement by Defendant Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. (Perdew, Phillip),(ps) (Entered: 05/05/2011)
05/05/2011	<u>45</u>	STIPULATION of Dismissal of Defendant Stephan on terms set forth therein (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Service) (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) (Entered: 05/05/2011)
05/05/2011	<u>46</u>	Brief in Opposition by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora on grounds of issue and/or claim preclusion re: 17 Motion to Dismiss, 15 Motion to Dismiss, 26 Motion to Dismiss, 13 Motion to Dismiss, 10 Motion to Dismiss, (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Service) (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) Modified docket text on 5/6/2011 (mmo). Modified text on 5/9/2011 (jef). (Entered: 05/05/2011)
05/05/2011	47	Requests for Judicial Notice by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora re 46 Brief in Opposition. (Attachments: # 1 Judicial Notice Document 1: State Court Order of March 24, 2011, # 2 Document 2: State Court Order of March 18, 2011, # 3 Document 3: State Court Order of March 1, 2011, # 4 Document 4: Notice of Appeal, Docketing Statement, Certificate of Service) (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) Modified text on 5/9/2011 (jef). (Entered: 05/05/2011)
05/05/2011	48	Brief in Opposition by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora on Rooker-Feldman and Abstention Doctrines re: 17 Motion to Dismiss, 15 Motion to Dismiss, 26 Motion to Dismiss, 23 Motion to Dismiss, 10 Motion to Dismiss, (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Service) (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) Modified docket text on 5/6/2011 (mmo). Modified text on 5/9/2011 (jef). (Entered: 05/05/2011)
05/05/2011	<u>49</u>	Certificate of Service by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora (Nora, Wendy Alison), (ps) (Entered: 05/05/2011)
05/05/2011	<u>50</u>	Amended Brief in Opposition by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora re: 17 Motion to Dismiss, 26 Motion to Dismiss, 23 Motion to Dismiss, 13 Motion to Dismiss, 10 Motion to Dismiss, AMENDED to correct typographical error at page 1, line 2 (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Service) (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) Modified docket text on 5/6/2011 (mmo). (Entered: 05/05/2011)
05/05/2011	<u>51</u>	Brief in Opposition by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora re: 17 MOTION TO DISMISS filed by Bass & Moglowsky, S.C., Penny M. Gentges, Arthur Moglowsky, David M. Potteiger (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Service) (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) (Entered: 05/05/2011)
05/05/2011	<u>52</u>	Amended Brief in Opposition by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora re: 17 MOTION TO DISMISS filed by Bass & Moglowsky, S.C., Penny M. Gentges, Arthur Moglowsky, David M. Potteiger, 15 MOTION TO DISMISS filed by Cerebus Capital Management, LP, 26 MOTION TO DISMISS filed by Michael Riley, Gray & Associates, LLP, Jay Pitner, William N. Foshag, 13 MOTION TO DISMISS filed by Ally Financial, Inc., Residential Capital, LLC, GMAC-RFC

		Holding Company, LLC, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, GMAC Financial Services, GMAC Mortgage Group, LLC, 10 MOTION TO DISMISS filed by RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., Manish Verma, Homecomings Financial, LLC, Kenneth Urgwuadu, Residential Funding Company, LLC AMENDED to Attach Affidavit of Service on David M. Potteiger by Michael Hardena (Attachments: # 1 Affidavit of Service on David M. Potteiger, # 2 Certificate of Service) (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) Modified docket text on 5/6/2011 (mmo). (Entered: 05/05/2011)
05/05/2011	<u>53</u>	Motion to Stay re 15 MOTION TO DISMISS and Provide 5 days to Provide Additional Respond to Cerberus Motion to Dismiss after FRCP 7.1 Filing by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora. (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Service) (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) (Entered: 05/05/2011)
05/06/2011	54	** TEXT ONLY ORDER ** Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora and defendant Jeffrey Stephan's <u>45</u> stipulation of dismissal of defendant Jeffrey Stephan is accepted and entered. Signed by District Judge William M. Conley on 5/6/2011. (jef),(ps) (Entered: 05/06/2011)
05/06/2011		Set Briefing as to plaintiff's <u>53</u> Motion to Stay. Response due <u>5/11/2011</u> . There will be no reply. (jef),(ps) (Entered: 05/06/2011)
05/06/2011	<u>55</u>	Brief in Opposition by Defendant Cerebus Capital Management, LP re: <u>53</u> Motion to Stay re <u>15</u> MOTION TO DISMISS and Provide 5 days to Provide Additional Respond to Cerberus Motion to Dismiss after FRCP 7.1 Filing filed by Wendy Alison Nora (Perdew, Phillip),(ps) Modified on 5/9/2011 (mmo). (Entered: 05/06/2011)
05/09/2011	56	** TEXT ONLY ORDER ** The court is in receipt of plaintiff's 53 motion to stay determination of defendant Cerberus Capital Management, LP's motion to dismiss and for an extension of time of five days after Cerberus files its corporate disclosure statement to respond (or supplement her response) to Cerberus's 15 motion to dismiss. Cerberus moves to dismiss this plaintiff's action for lack of personal jurisdiction. Given that the information in its corporate disclosure statement may be relevant to plaintiff's opposition, the court GRANTS plaintiff's motion. A response to Cerberus's motion to dismiss is due within five days of the date Cerberus files its corporate disclosure statement. Signed by District Judge William M. Conley on 5/9/2011. (jef),(ps) (Entered: 05/09/2011)
05/12/2011	<u>57</u>	Brief in Reply by Defendants Bass & Moglowsky, S.C., Penny M. Gentges, Arthur Moglowsky, David M. Potteiger in Support of <u>17</u> Motion to Dismiss, (Potteiger, David),(ps) (Entered: 05/12/2011)
05/12/2011	<u>58</u>	Notice by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora re <u>57</u> Brief in Reply <i>re: concurrent state and federal jurisdiction</i> . (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) (Entered: 05/12/2011)
05/13/2011	<u>59</u>	Corporate Disclosure Statement by Defendant Cerebus Capital Management, LP (Perdew, Phillip),(ps) (Entered: 05/13/2011)

05/16/2011	<u>60</u>	Brief in Reply by Defendants Homecomings Financial, LLC, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF, Residential Funding Company, LLC, Kenneth Urgwuadu, Manish Verma in Support of 10 Motion to Dismiss, (Perdew, Phillip),(ps) (Entered: 05/16/2011)		
05/16/2011	<u>61</u>	Brief in Reply by Defendants Ally Financial, Inc., GMAC Financial Services, GMAC Mortgage Group, LLC, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, GMAC-RFC Holding Company, LLC, Residential Capital, LLC in Support of 13 Motion to Dismiss (Perdew, Phillip),(ps) (Entered: 05/16/2011)		
05/16/2011	<u>62</u>	Brief in Reply by Defendants William N. Foshag, Gray & Associates, LLP, Jay Pitner, Michael Riley in Support of <u>26</u> Motion to Dismiss (Nowicki, Bryan), (ps) (Entered: 05/16/2011)		
05/18/2011	<u>63</u>	Brief in Opposition by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora re: 15 MOTION TO DISMISS filed by Cerebus Capital Management, LP (Attachments: # 1 Certificate of Service) (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) (Entered: 05/18/2011)		
05/18/2011	<u>64</u>	Motion for Request for Judicial Notice of Documents Pertaining to Separate Motion to Dismiss of Cerberus Capital Management, LP re 54 Text Only Order, 59 Corporate Disclosure Statement, 15 MOTION TO DISMISS, 63 Brief in Opposition by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Documents Numbered 5-10 for Judicial Notice, # 2 Certificate of Service) (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) (Entered: 05/18/2011)		
05/27/2011	<u>65</u>	Brief in Reply by Defendant Cerebus Capital Management, LP in Support of 5 Motion to Dismiss (Perdew, Phillip),(ps) (Entered: 05/27/2011)		
05/27/2011	<u>66</u>	Brief in Opposition by Defendant Cerebus Capital Management, LP re: <u>64</u> Motion for Request for Judicial Notice of Documents Pertaining to Separate Motion to Dismiss of Cerberus Capital Management, LP by Wendy Alison Nora. (Perdew, Phillip),(ps) Modified docket text on 6/1/2011. (arw) (Entered: 05/27/2011)		
01/07/2012	<u>67</u>	Notice of Change of Address by Wendy Alison Nora (Nora, Wendy Alison), (ps) (Entered: 01/07/2012)		
05/15/2012	<u>68</u>	Notice by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora of Bankruptcy Filing of Defendants Bankruptcy Filing Notices for Residential Funding Company, LLC; GMAC-RFC Holding Company, LLC; Residential Capital, LLC; GMAC Mortgage, LLC; and Homecomings Financial, LLC on May 14, 2012. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Bankruptcy Filing Notices for Residential Funding Company, LLC; GMAC-RFC Holding Company, LLC; Residential Capital, LLC; GMAC Mortgage, LLC; and Homecomings Financial, LLC in the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, # 2 Certificate of Service) (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) (Entered: 05/15/2012)		
09/30/2012	<u>69</u>	ORDER granting defendants' Motions to Dismiss under the Rooker-Feldman doctrine. Signed by District Judge William M. Conley on 9/30/2012. (mfh),(ps) Modified on 10/10/2012 (llj). (Entered: 09/30/2012)		
10/02/2012	<u>70</u>	JUDGMENT entered dismissing this case without prejudice for lack of subject		

matter jurisdiction. (PAO). (jef),(ps) (Entered: 10/02/2012)					
10/26/2012	71	Motion for Reconsideration re 69 Order on Motion to Dismiss,, Order on Motion for Miscellaneous Relief, 70 Judgment by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora. (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) Modified docket text on 10/29/2012 (mmo) (Entered: 10/26/2012)			
10/26/2012	<u>72</u>	Motion to Vacate 69 Order on Motion to Dismiss,, Order on Motion for Miscellaneous Relief, 70 Judgment by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A: Plaintiff's Reply Brief in Wisconsin Court of Appeals Case No. 11-AP-879 dated May 10, 2012) (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) Modified docket text on 10/29/2012 (mmo). (Entered: 10/26/2012)			
11/13/2012	73	Brief in Opposition by Defendants Ally Financial, Inc., Cerebus Capital Management, LP, GMAC Financial Services, GMAC Mortgage Group, LLC, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, GMAC-RFC Holding Company, LLC, Homecomings Financial, LLC, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF, Residential Capital, LLC, Residential Funding Company, LLC, Kenneth Urgwuadu, Manish Verma re: 71 Motion for Reconsideration filed by Wendy Alison Nora (Cunningham, Thomas),(ps) (Entered: 11/13/2012)			
11/13/2012	Brief in Opposition by Defendants Ally Financial, Inc., Cer Management, LP, GMAC Financial Services, GMAC Mort GMAC Mortgage, LLC, GMAC-RFC Holding Company, I Financial, LLC, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF, Residential Cap Residential Funding Company, LLC, Kenneth Urgwuadu, I 72 Motion to Vacate, filed by Wendy Alison Nora (Cunnin (Entered: 11/13/2012)				
11/15/2012	<u>75</u>	Joinder by Defendants William N. Foshag, Gray & Associates, LLP, Jay Pitner, Michael Riley to <u>73</u> Brief in Opposition re: <u>71</u> Motion for Reconsideration filed by Wendy Alison Nora (Nowicki, Bryan),(ps) Modified docket text on 11/16/2012 (elc). (Entered: 11/15/2012)			
11/15/2012	<u>76</u>	Joinder by Defendants William N. Foshag, Gray & Associates, LLP, Jay Pitner, Michael Riley to <u>74</u> Brief in Opposition re: <u>72</u> Motion to Vacate, filed by Wendy Alison Nora (Nowicki, Bryan),(ps) Modified docket text on 11/16/2012 (elc). (Entered: 11/15/2012)			
03/01/2013	77	ORDER denying as moot <u>71</u> Motion for Reconsideration; denying <u>72</u> Motion to Vacate. Signed by District Judge William M. Conley on 3/1/2013. (jef),(ps) (Entered: 03/01/2013)			
03/27/2013	<u>78</u>	NOTICE OF APPEAL by Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora as to <u>69</u> Order on Motion to Dismiss, Order on Motion for Miscellaneous Relief, <u>77</u> Order on Motion for Reconsideration, Order on Motion to Vacate, <u>70</u> Judgment. Filing fee of \$ 455, receipt number 0758-1150614 paid. No Docketing Statement filed. (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) Modified docket text on 3/27/2013 (mmo). (Entered: 03/27/2013)			

03/27/2013	<u>79</u>	Appeal Information Packet (mmo),(ps) (Entered: 03/27/2013)		
03/27/2013	80	Transmission of Notice of Appeal, Appeal Information Sheet, Docket Sheet and Judgment to Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals re 78 Notice of Appeal, (Attachments: # 1 Info sheet, # 2 Order #69, # 3 Judgment, # 4 Order #77, # 5 Docket sheet) (mmo),(ps) (Entered: 03/27/2013)		
03/28/2013		USCA Case Number 13-1660 for <u>78</u> Notice of Appeal, filed by Wendy Alison Nora. (elc),(ps) (Entered: 03/28/2013)		
04/09/2013	<u>81</u>	Docketing Statement re: <u>78</u> Notice of Appeal. (Nora, Wendy Alison),(ps) (Entered: 04/09/2013)		
05/15/2013	<u>82</u>	ORDER from USCA granting defendant Jeffrey Stephan's motion on non-involvement in appeal as to <u>78</u> Notice of Appeal, filed by Wendy Alison Nora. (elc),(ps) (Entered: 05/15/2013)		

PACER Service Center						
Transaction Receipt						
07/10/2013 14:18:18						
PACER mf0071		Client Code:	99992-0000367-00407			
Description:	Docket Report	Search Criteria:	3:10-cv-00748-wmc Start date: 1/1/2010 End date: 12/31/2013			
Billable Pages:	14	Cost:	1.40			

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 43 of 73

Exhibit F

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 44 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00/48-wmc Document #: 69 Filed: 09/30/12 Page 1 of 16

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

WENDY ALISON NORA,

Plaintiff,

OPINION AND ORDER

٧.

10-cv-748-wmc

RESIDENTIAL FUNDING COMPANY, LLC, RFC TRUST 03 LOAN POOL NUMBER RASC2002KSSCONF, GMAC-RFC HOLDING COMPANY, LLC, RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, GMAC MORTGAGE GROUP, LLC, GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC, GMAC FINANCIAL SERVICES, MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., AEGIS MORTGAGE CORPORATION, GRAY & ASSOCIATES, LLP, JAY PITNER, MICHAEL RILEY, WILLIAM N. FOSHAG, BASS & MOGLOWSKY, S.C., ARTHUR MOGLOWSKY, DAVID M. POTTEIGER, PENNY M. GENTGES, JEFFREY STEPHAN, MANISH VERMA, AMY NELSON, HOMECOMINGS FINANCIAL, LLC, ALLY FINANCIAL, INC., CERBERUS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP, KENNETH UGWUADU, and UNNAMED CO-CONSPIRATORS,

Defendants.

In this lawsuit, plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora alleges that various entities and individuals involved in an allegedly fraudulent scheme involving plaintiff's mortgage violated provisions of the Racketeer Influenced and Corruptions Act ("RICO"), 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968, and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C.

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 45 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00/48-wmc Document #: 69 Filed: 09/30/12 Page 2 of 16

§ 1692.¹ Defendants have filed multiple motions to dismiss, asserting a number of well-reasoned arguments for dismissal of the action in its entirety or as to particular defendants or claims. The court's analysis begins and necessarily ends with defendants' argument challenging this court's jurisdiction.² Because Nora's complaint challenges a state court's foreclosure judgment, her claims are barred by the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine.

ALLEGATIONS OF FACT³

A. Overview of Parties and Claims

The amended complaint alleges that Nora secured a mortgage on her home from defendant Cerberus Capital Management, LP. (Am. Compl. (dkt. #7) ¶ 28.) Cerberus owns Aegis Mortgage Corporation, which is currently in Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceedings. *In re Aegis Mortgage Corporation, et al.*, No. 07-11119-BLS (Bankr. D. Del. filed Aug. 13, 2007). (*See also* Am. Compl., Ex. A (dkt. #7-1).) The complaint alleges that Cerberus "procured Plaintiff's promissory note payable to Aegis and created [Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.] as its nominee for Plaintiff's mortgage." (*Id.*)

¹ The complaint incorrectly identifies a number of defendants. The court has corrected the caption and identifies the defendants by their corrected names in this opinion.

² Defendants Bass & Moglowsky, S.C., Arthur Moglowsky, Penny Gentges and David Potteiger also challenge Nora's standing to bring claims that belong to the bankruptcy court. However, a Chapter 13 debtor has standing to pursue claims of the estate. *See, e.g., Cable v. Ivy Tech State College*, 200 F.3d 467, 474 (7th Cir. 1999).

³ The court accepts as true all well-pleaded facts and allegations in the complaint, drawing all reasonable inferences in favor of plaintiff. *London v. RBS Citizens, N.A.*, 600 F.3d 742, 745 (7th Cir. 2010).

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 46 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00/48-wmc Document #: 69 Filed: 09/30/12 Page 3 of 16

The heart of plaintiff's complaint appears to be an alleged fraudulent assignment of the mortgage to defendant Residential Funding Company, LLC ("RFC"). (Am. Compl., Ex. B (dkt. #7-2).) The assignment of mortgage provides:

Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as mortgagee of record, Assignor, for a valuable consideration, assigns to Residential Funding Company, LLC fka Residential Funding Corporation the mortgage executed by Wendy Alison Nora to Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as nominee for AEGIS Mortgage Corporation on the 5th day of June, 2002, together with the previously transferred note secured thereby, the aforementioned mortgage being assigned was recorded in the office of the Register of Deeds of Dane County, Wisconsin, on the 27th day of June, 2002 . . . together with the note and indebtedness it secures.

(Id.)

A number of parent and affiliate entities of Residential Funding, LLC are named as defendants to this action. Starting at the top, GMAC Financial Services, which adopted the name Ally Financial in 2010, is the parent company of GMAC Mortgage Group LLC and GMAC Mortgage LLC (collectively referred to as GMAC Mortgage). Individual defendants Jeffrey Stephan, Kenneth Ugwuadu, and Manish Verma are or were employees of GMAC Mortgage. GMAC Mortgage is the parent company of Homecomings Financial, LLC, who at one time allegedly serviced plaintiff's mortgage. GMAC Mortgage is also the parent company of Residential Capital, LLC, and Residential Capital is the parent company of GMAC-RFC Holding, which in turn is the parent company of Residential Funding LLC. The complaint alleges Stephan, Ugwuadu, and Verma were involved in this fraudulent assignment. The assignment was signed by Jeffrey Stephan as "Vice President" of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 47 of 73 Page 4 of 16

("MERS"), and Kenneth Ugwuadu as "Assistant Secretary" also of MERS. (Am. Compl., Ex. B (dkt. #7-2).) Residential Funding allegedly then bundled plaintiff's mortgage and placed it in the RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF ("the RFC Trust").⁴

The complaint also alleges claims against two law firms and employees of those firms. First, plaintiff alleges defendant Gray & Associates, LLP and its employees Jay Pitner, Michael Rules, and William Foshag were involved in the alleged fraudulent assignment. The above-referenced assignment was drafted by Jay Pitner. (Am. Compl., Ex. B (dkt. #7-2).) Nora alleges that Pitner knew or should have known that AEGIS was in bankruptcy and could not have lawfully transferred any interest to RFC; MERS lacked authority to assign its interest; and Stephen and Ugwuadu were not employees of MERS, but rather of GMAC Mortgage. (Am. Compl. (dkt. #7) ¶¶ 34-36.)

Second, plaintiff alleges defendant Bass & Moglowsky and two of its attorneys Arthur Moglowsky and David Potteiger presented a "fraudulently created promissory note" and drafted a "perjurious" affidavit in a foreclosure action in state court in order to defraud the state court and plaintiff. (*Id.* at ¶¶ 61-70, 89.) Plaintiff also alleges that defendant Potteiger prevented GMAC Mortgage, LLC from providing plaintiff with a

⁴ In her complaint, Nora designates what the court assumed to be a subset of defendants as the "GMAC Racketeering Enterprise." (Am. Compl. (dkt. #7) ¶ 23 (listing paragraph numbers for certain parties as belonging to the "GMAC Racketeering Enterprise" and stating that that enterprise conspired with "the remaining named and unnamed parties"). The court painstakingly followed Nora's numerous cross-references only to discover that Nora uses the short-hand "GMAC Racketeering Enterprise" to refer to *all* defendants. The court opts to refer to the defendants collectively as "defendants."

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 -Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 48 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00748-wmc Document #: 69 Filed: 09/30/12 Page 5 of 16

loan modification under the HAMP program, and that this action, too, was in furtherance of the larger conspiracy. (*Id.* at ¶¶ 92-96.)

B. State Foreclosure Action

Plaintiff's home became the subject of a foreclosure action in the Circuit Court of Dane County, Wisconsin. *Residential Funding Company, LLC v. Nora*, No. 09CV1096 (Wis. Cir. Ct., Dane Cnty. filed Mar. 3, 2009). The parties here move the court to take judicial notice of certain documents filed in the foreclosure proceeding (dkt. ##18, 47), which the court will grant. The foreclosure action was filed on March 3, 2009. The court granted summary judgment to RFC on February 9, 2010, and entered judgment in favor of RFC on March 3, 2010, in the amount of \$259,912.49, ordering the sale of plaintiff's home, with proceeds (after deducting for sheriff's fees and expenses of sale) to be applied first to the amount due RFC. (Dkt. ##18-14, 18-16.)

Nora did not appeal the March 3, 2010, judgment. Rather, a full year later, Nora filed motions on March 7, 2011, to vacate the court's grant of summary judgment to RFC and to vacate the judgment. The court denied the motion to vacate summary judgment on March 18, 2011, and denied the motion to vacate the foreclosure judgment on March 24, 2011. (Dkt. #47-1.) Nora is currently appealing those decisions, as well as the state court's order confirming the sheriff's sale dated March 1, 2011. (Dkt. #47-4.) From the Wisconsin Court of Appeals docket sheet, the appeal is currently awaiting

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 49 of 73 Page 6 of 16

assignment. Residential Funding Company LLC v. Wendy Alison Nora, No. 2011 AP 000879 (Wis. Ct. App. filed Apr. 19, 2011). ⁵

C. Procedural Posture

Nora filed this federal action on November 30, 2010. (Dkt. #1.) Before any of the defendants were required to answer or otherwise respond to her original complaint, Nora filed an amended complaint on March 1, 2011, which is the subject of the present motions. (Dkt. #7.)

OPINION6

The Rooker-Feldman doctrine deprives this court of jurisdiction to review a state court decision. See D.C. Court of Appeals v. Feldman, 460 U.S. 462 (1983); Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co., 263 U.S. 413 (1923). The reason underlying the doctrine is that "no matter how erroneous or unconstitutional the state court judgment may be, only the

⁵ The court also notes that another notice of appeal involving the same underlying foreclosure action was filed on July 30, 2012. *Residential Funding Company LLC v. Wendy Alison Nora*, No. 2012 AP 001720.

⁶ In addition to challenging subject matter jurisdiction under *Rooker-Feldman* and Nora's standing to bring claims which belong to the bankruptcy estate, defendants move for dismissal the following, additional grounds: (1) Nora's claims are subject to issue preclusion because of the state court foreclosure judgment; (2) failure to plead sufficient allegations to establish that the court has personal jurisdiction over certain defendants; (3) lack of personal jurisdiction over defendant Potteiger for failure to personally serve; (4) failure to plead sufficient allegations under both Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8 and Rule 9; (5) failure to adequately plead a RICO claim; and (6) failure to adequately plead a violation of the FDCPA. (*See* Defs.' Motions to Dismiss (dkt. ##10, 13, 15, 17, 23, 26).) Since this court lacks jurisdiction over this action, these other grounds are moot.

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 50 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00/48-wmc Document #: 69 Filed: 09/30/12 Page 7 of 16

Supreme Court of the United States has jurisdiction to review it." *Brown v. Bowman*, 668 F.3d 437, 442 (7th Cir. 2012).

The doctrine applies to "cases brought by state-court losers complaining of injuries caused by state court judgments rendered before the district court proceedings commenced and inviting district court review and rejection of those judgments." *Exxon Mobile Corp. v. Saudi Basic Indus. Corp.*, 544 U.S. 280, 284 (2005).⁷

At the same time, the doctrine "does not prevent state-court losers from presenting *independent* claims to a federal district court, even if the new claims involve questions related to those in the original state court proceedings." *Crawford v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.*, 647 F.3d 642, 645 (7th Cir. 2011) (emphasis added) (citing *Skinner v. Switzer*, 131 S. Ct. 1289, 1297 (2011)). "The determination of whether a federal claim is 'inextricably intertwined' hinges on whether it alleges that the supposed injury was caused by the state court judgment, or, alternatively, whether the federal claim alleges an independent prior injury that the state court failed to remedy." *Brown*, 668 F.3d at 442.

⁷ In Exxon Mobile, the Supreme Court voiced concern over lower court's overuse of this doctrine. 544 U.S. at 283 ("[T]he doctrine has sometimes been construed to extend far beyond the contours of the Rooker and Feldman cases."). Relying on Exxon Mobile, the focus of Nora's opposition is on the overuse of the doctrine. In fact, she quotes several pages of the case syllabus in her opposition brief, although she fails to explain how its application here stretches the doctrine beyond (or even near) the contours approved in Exxon Mobile. See Crawford v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., 647 F.3d 642, 646 (7th Cir. 2011) (criticizing the plaintiffs in that case for solely arguing that the doctrine is "overapplied," explaining that "the Supreme Court's general admonitions regarding frequent and inappropriate application of the Rooker-Feldman doctrine shed no light on its application given the procedural posture and facts of the [plaintiffs'] particular case") (internal citation omitted). In an abundance of caution, the court nevertheless will rely on the reasoning of cases applying the Rooker-Feldman doctrine post-Exxon Mobile.

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Case: 3:10-cv-00/48-wmc Document #: 69 Filed: 09/30/12 Page 8 of 16

A. Effect of Pending Appeal

In their opening briefs, defendants represent that Nora failed to appeal from the state circuit court judgment. While not an inaccurate statement, it does not capture completely the procedural posture of the state court action. Nora did not appeal the state court's March 3, 2010 judgment in favor of RFC. Instead, Nora appealed the March 1, 2011 order confirming sheriff's sale and the March 24, 2011 order denying her motion to reopen the judgment. Still, this pending appeal calls into question whether Nora can be deemed a "state court loser and whether "state proceedings [have] ended." *Exxon Mobile*, 544 U.S. at 284, 291.8

As far as this court can tell, the Seventh Circuit has yet to address whether an appeal from post-judgment decisions implicates the application of the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine. In *TruServe Corp. v. Flegles, Inc.*, 419 F.3d 584, 591 (7th Cir. 2005), the Seventh Circuit considered whether the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine precludes federal jurisdiction over an "interlocutory ruling." In that case, a hardware store, Flegles, sued its supplier, TruServ, in Kentucky state court, alleging a breach of contract claim. *Id.* at 588. TruServ filed a motion to dismiss, invoking the forum selection clause in the

⁸ In Exxon Mobile, the Supreme Court noted that in both Rooker and Feldman, "the losing party in state court filed suit in federal court after the state proceedings ended, complaining of an injury caused by the state-court judgment and seeking review and rejection of that judgment." 544 U.S. at 291 (emphasis added). Some courts have latched onto this "after the state court proceedings have ended" language in determining whether an appeal of a state court judgment bars application of the Rooker-Feldman doctrine. See, e.g., Dornheim v. Sholes, 430 F.3d 919, 923 (8th Cir. 2005) ("Exxon Mobil makes clear that the Rooker/Feldman doctrine precludes federal district court jurisdiction only if the federal suit is commenced after the state court proceedings have ended.").

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 52 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00748-wmc Document #: 69 Filed: 09/30/12 Page 9 of 16

parties' contract, which required that disputes be litigated in Illinois. *Id.* The Kentucky court disagreed, but before judgment in the Kentucky case had been entered, TruServe filed a lawsuit in the Northern District of Illinois. *Id.* Flegles challenged the federal court's jurisdiction, arguing that the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine precludes review of decisions by state courts. *Id.* at 590. Noting that "[t]he state court judgment was rendered more than 14 months *after* the district court action began," the Seventh Circuit explained "the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine is not applicable to this lawsuit because the Kentucky court's judgment was not rendered before the district court proceedings commenced." *Id.* at 590-91.

By contrast, at the time of Nora's filing of this federal action, the state court proceeding had ended. Specifically, the state court entered the judgment of foreclosure in favor of RFC and against Nora on March 3, 2010. Under Wisconsin law, a judgment of foreclosure and sale "dispose[d] of the entire matter in litigation," rendering it "final" and "appealable as a matter of right under Wis. Stat. § 808.03(1)." Sweeney v. Petska, 2010 WI App 62, ¶ 5 n.2, 324 Wis. 2d 581, 785 N.W.2d 687 (unpublished) (citing Shiput v. Lauer, 109 Wis. 2d 164, 172, 325 N.W.2d 321 (1982)). Accordingly, the time for appeal of the state court foreclosure judgment had long since run by the time Nora filed this action on November 30, 2010. See Wis. Stat. § 808.04(1) ("An appeal . . . must be initiated within 45 days of entry of a final judgment or order appealed from if

⁹ "The proceedings after the judgment of foreclosure (i.e., the sale itself, judicial confirmation, and the computation of deficiency) are analogous to the execution of a judgment and simply enforce the parties' adjudicated rights." *Sweeny*, 2010 WI App 62, ¶ 5 n.2 (quoting *Shiput*, 109 Wis. 2d at 173).

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey, Pg 53 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00/48-wmc Document #: 69 Filed: 09/30/12 Page 10 of 16

written notice of the entry . . . is given within 21 days of the final judgment or order . . . or within 90 days of entry if notice is not given.")

As much as Nora might hope to resuscitate the final judgment of foreclosure, her appeal of post-judgment orders denying Nora's motion to vacate judgment and confirming the sheriff's sale are limited to those specific orders. *See, Waterstone Bank SSB v. Panenka*, 2011 WI App 1, ¶ 18, 330 Wis. 2d 835, 794 N.W.2d 928 ("In this appeal from the order confirming the sale, Panenka is limited to challenging the regularity of the proceedings subsequent to the judgment of foreclosure and sale.") (unpublished); *Allstate Ins. Co. v. Brunswick Corp.* 2007 WI App 221, ¶ 5, 305 Wis.2d 400, 740 N.W.2d 888 (explaining that review of circuit court's denial of motion to vacate judgment is highly deferential and limited to whether the "decision contemplates a process of reasoning that depends on facts that are in the record, or reasonably derived by inference from facts of record, and a conclusion based on the application of the correct legal standard").

Moreover, common sense dictates that the remaining state appeal does not work a wholesale bar on the application of the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine. A state court proceeding would never come to an end if a motion to vacate judgment, followed by an appeal of an order denying that motion, could alone operate as a basis for keeping an underlying action open (or permitting it to be reopened), leaving in a state of limbo whether plaintiff in a federal action could be adjudicated the "state court loser." Such a result would undermine the policy underlying the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine: that the United States Supreme Court, not lower federal courts, should review state court decisions. *See Brown*, 668 F.3d at 442. As a result, this court finds that Nora's pending appeal of decisions

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 54 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00748-wmc Document #: 69 Filed: 09/30/12 Page 11 of 16

issued after the court entered judgment against her in state court lacks a judicial basis to proceed in light of the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine.¹⁰

B. Application of Doctrine to Plaintiff's Claims

Having found that the doctrine could theoretically apply to the Nora's claims, the court must examine the nature of her current federal claims to determine whether they directly challenge the state court foreclosure judgment or operate as such a challenge. In making this determination, the Seventh Circuit instructs courts to "look beyond the four corners of the complaint to discern the actual injury claimed by the plaintiff." *Crawford*, 647 F.3d at 646 (quoting *Johnson v. Orr*, 551 F.3d 564, 568 (7th Cir. 2008)); *see Brown*, 668 F.3d at 443 ("[A]rtful pleading cannot get [plaintiff] around *Rooker-Feldman* when the gravamen of [the] complaint requires the district court to review the state judicial proceedings.").

Here, Nora's amended complaint focuses on the foreclosure proceeding generally, and specifically upon alleged misrepresentations made by defendants during the course of the proceedings in furtherance of the alleged conspiracy. (*See, e.g.*, Am. Compl. (dkt. #7) ¶ 23 (defendants "conspired to cause Plaintiff's injuries and damages and to procure hundreds of thousands of foreclosure titles to U.S. Home, with the attendant damages to

¹⁰ Contrary to Nora's argument, this result is at least consistent with the Seventh Circuit's unpublished decision in *Auston v. North Carolina*, No. 96-1158, 1997 WL 312285, at *1-2 (7th Cir. June 5, 1997), where the court mentioned that plaintiff had filed a motion to vacate the state court judgments, which was pending at the time that the plaintiff filed his federal action, but still affirmed the district court's application of the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine to bar plaintiff's federal claims.

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 55 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00/48-wmc Document #: 69 Filed: 09/30/12 Page 12 of 16

each family thereby foreclosed"); ¶ 47 (defendants committed thousands of identical frauds throughout the nation for the purpose of taking homes in foreclosure using fraudulent[] documents"); ¶¶ 51-52 (plaintiff asked law firm defendants "to cease and desist from proceeding on the fraudulently procured Summary Judgment" and these defendants "have taken no action to dismiss the fraudulently documented foreclosure proceedings against the Plaintiff"); ¶¶ 64-65 (alleging defendant Moglowsky misrepresented the nature of a promissory note to the state trial court); ¶ 79 (alleging defendant Nelson made certain misrepresentations to the state trial court); ¶ 86 (alleging defendant Verma signed an affidavit in support of summary judgment in the state trial court proceeding, perpetuating defendants' fraud).)

Nora also seeks an order awarding her "title to her home free and clear of the fraudulent claim of the GMAC Racketeering Enterprise." (*Id.* at p.17.) The Seventh Circuit has previously described such a request as "tantamount to a request to vacate the court's judgment of foreclosure," in affirming the district court's dismissal of a federal claim asserted by a foreclosed mortgagor against her creditors. *See Taylor v. Fed. Nat'l Mortgage Ass'n*, 374 F.3d 529, 533 (7th Cir. 2004) ("The district court correctly determined that requesting the recovery of her home is tantamount to a request to vacate the court's judgment of foreclosure.").

The fact that Nora brings RICO and FDCPA claims -- as opposed to a declaratory judgment action seeking an order vacating the state court's judgment -- is of no import. The Seventh Circuit has repeatedly rejected plaintiffs' attempts to recast claims to circumvent the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine. *See, e.g., Louis-Kenny-Reed: El v. Makowiecki*, No.

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 56 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00748-wmc Document #: 69 Filed: 09/30/12 Page 13 of 16

11-1799, 2011 WL 5149469, at *1 (7th Cir. Nov. 1, 2011) (rejecting plaintiff's reference to § 1983 as an attempt to circumvent the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine); *Wallis v. Fifth Third Bank*, No. 11-1181, 2011 WL 4396973, at *2 (7th Cir. Sept. 21, 2011) ("Wallis cannot circumvent the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine by recasting a request for the district court to review state court rulings as a complaint about civil rights, due process, conspiracy or RICO violations."); *Johnson v. Orr*, 551 F.3d 564, 568 (7th Cir. 2008) (finding it irrelevant that the plaintiff "characterized his grievance as a civil rights claim"). Moreover, in pleading these specific claims, Nora alleges that defendants filed "false, fraudulent and perjured documents" in support of the foreclosure action. (*See* Am. Compl. ¶ 117 (RICO claim); ¶ 128 (FDCPA claim).

Since Nora is unquestionably attempting to challenge the 2010 state foreclosure judgment against her by pursuing these federal claims, her complaint is barred by the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine. In the end, this result is hardly surprising. Indeed, cases in which courts -- including this court and the Seventh Circuit -- have dismissed actions challenging a state court foreclosure judgment are legion.¹¹

¹¹ See, e.g., Louis-Kenny-Reed: El v. Makowiecki, No. 11-1799, 2011 WL 5149469, at *1 (7th Cir. Nov. 1, 2011) ("This lawsuit, seeking review of a state-court foreclosure judgment, squarely fits" within the description of the Rooker-Feldman doctrine in Exxon Mobil); Wallis v. Fifth Third Bank, No. 11-1181, 2011 WL 4396973, at *2 (7th Cir. Sept. 21, 2011) (affirming district court's decision dismissing federal claims because "some of Wallis's contentions -- such as his disagreement with the foreclosure judgment -- ask us to review and reject rulings that the state court made against him in the foreclosure suit"); Taylor, 374 F.3d at 536 ("Because Taylor's claims are all either de facto appeals of, or are inextricably intertwined with, the state court's judgment of foreclosure, and because she has failed to demonstrate any barriers preventing the consideration of her claims by the state court, the district court was correct that the Rooker-Feldman doctrine deprived it of subject matter jurisdiction over her suit."); Wilkinson v. Wells Fargo Bank Minn., No. 06-C-1288, 2007 WL 1414888, at *4-5 (E.D. Wis. May 9, 2007) (finding

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 57 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00/48-wmc Document #: 69 Filed: 09/30/12 Page 14 of 16

Still, this court has the discretion to find some claims barred by the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine, while allowing other claims to proceed. *See Crawford*, 647 F.3d at 646-47 (affirming district court's finding that two of twenty-two claims were barred by the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine). Accordingly, the court has considered whether plaintiff is attempting to complain of an injury that is not "inextricably intertwined" with the injury caused by the state court judgment itself.

Given that the foreclosure is the focus of all of Nora's claims, the candidates are few indeed. Nora does complain of alleged fraudulent activity occurring before her state court proceeding -- contending that an assignment of her mortgage recorded in the Dane Court Register of Deeds is fraudulent (Am. Compl. (dkt. #7) ¶ 41; *id.*, Ex. B (dkt. #7-2)) -- but even here, Nora has not explained, and the court cannot perceive, how this alleged assignment could function as an independent injury.

FDCPA and RICO barred under the Rooker-Feldman doctrine because claims require review of state court foreclosure judgment and ordering plaintiff to show cause why sanctions should not be awarded pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 11); Zanzie v. BAC Home Loans Servicing, L.P., No. 10-C-633, 2010 WL 4237308, at *1 (E.D. Wis. Oct. 21, 2010) (dismissing fraud action on Rooker-Feldman grounds, because "if a homeowner has a defense to a foreclosure action, she must generally raise it in that action and appeal through the state process rather than start an independent federal lawsuit"); Schwoegler v. Am. Family Fin. Servs., No. 06-C-111-S, 2006 WL 6021148, at *2 (W.D. Wis. May 16, 2006) (dismissing FDCPA claim on Rooker-Feldman grounds because "[t]o rule in plaintiffs' favor the Court would have to find that the state court erred in entering its [foreclosure] judgment"); Amaya v. Milwaukee Cnty., No. 04-C-1114, 2006 WL 335437, at *2 (E.D. Wis. Feb. 13, 2006 (dismissing various constitutional claims brought pursuant to § 1983 pursuant to the Rooker-Feldman doctrine because "[a]ll of [the plaintiff's] alleged injuries flow from the state court [foreclosure and eviction] judgment" or "are inextricably intertwined with the state court judgment"); McMahon v. Wash. State Bank, No. 05-C-122-S, 2005 WL 1648204, at *2 (W.D. Wis. July 13, 2005) (dismissing TILA claim for lack of jurisdiction because "[p]laintiff's claims are an effort to overturn the state court mortgage foreclosure by raising a claim which could have been resolved in the state court and which goes precisely to the validity of the lien foreclosed").

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 58 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00748-wmc Document #: 69 Filed: 09/30/12 Page 15 of 16

Nora was not a party to the assignment; any injury caused by it did not materialize until RFC attempted to foreclose on her property. Indeed, the validity of the assignment and RFC's ability to foreclose on the subject property were central issues in the foreclosure action; Nora raised the same allegations in her complaint; and Nora made the same arguments in her briefs in opposition to the motions to dismiss in this court as as she did in state court. (See Certain Defs.' Opening Br. (dkt. #11) 4-5; State Court Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Complaint With Prejudice For Fraud Upon The Court (dkt. #18-15).) See also Brown, 668 F.3d at 442 ("Once it is determined that a claim is inextricably intertwined, we must then inquire whether the plaintiff did or did not have a reasonable opportunity to raise the issue in state court proceedings." (internal citation, quotation marks and alterations omitted)). The court finds, therefore, that any injury caused by the alleged fraudulent assignment is inextricably intertwined with the state court foreclosure judgment.

To the extent plaintiff is attempting to plead some other injury, the court is unable to discern it given the confusing state of her pleading. Nor does this possibility give the court much pause given the likelihood that plaintiff's claims are otherwise legally barred. For example, Nora's claims may also be barred under the doctrine of claim preclusion. See Taylor, 374 F.3d at 533 n.1 ("Even though the Rooker-Feldman doctrine does not apply to claims that are neither de facto appeals nor are inextricably intertwined with a state court judgment, these claims may still be barred as claim-precluded under res

¹² Moreover, as Nora eventually concedes, she could have brought FDCPA and RICO claims in the state court. (Pl.'s Letter to Court (dkt. #58) (correcting argument made in opposition brief that state courts lack concurrent jurisdiction over RICO claims).)

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 59 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00/48-wmc Document #: 69 Filed: 09/30/12 Page 16 of 16

judicata if the plaintiff litigated them (or could have litigated them) in state court proceedings.").

Lacking subject matter jurisdiction, the court need not -- and indeed cannot -- reach other likely grounds for dismissal.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1) Defendants' motion to request judicial notice (dkt. #18) and plaintiff's requests for judicial notice (dkt. #47) are both GRANTED;
- 2) Plaintiff's motion for request for judicial notice of documents pertaining to separate motion to dismiss of Cerberus Capital Management (dkt. #64) is DENIED AS MOOT;
- 3) Defendants' motions to dismiss (dkt. ##10, 13, 15, 17, 23, 26) are GRANTED under the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine and otherwise rendered moot;
- 4) Plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora's amended complaint is dismissed in its entirety without prejudice; and
- 5) the clerk of the court should close this case.

Entered this 30th day of September, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

WILLIAM M. CONLEY District Judge

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 60 of 73

Exhibit G

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

WENDY ALISON NORA.

Plaintiff,

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

٧.

10-cv-748-wmc

RESIDENTIAL FUNDING COMPANY, LLC, RFC TRUST 03 LOAN POOL NUMBER RASC2002KSSCONF, GMAC-RFC HOLDING COMPANY, LLC, RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, GMAC MORTGAGE GROUP, LLC, GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC, GMAC FINANCIAL SERVICES, MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., AEGIS MORTGAGE CORPORATION, GRAY & ASSOCIATES, LLP, JAY PITNER, MICHAEL RILEY, WILLIAM N. FOSHAG, BASS & MOGLOWSKY, S.C., ARTHUR MOGLOWSKY, DAVID M. POTTEIGER, PENNY M. GENTGES, MANISH VERMA, AMY NELSON, HOMECOMINGS FINANCIAL, LLC, ALLY FINANCIAL, INC., CERBERUS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP and KENNETH UGWUADU,

Defendants.

This action came for consideration before the court with Magistrate Judge William M. Conley presiding. The issues have been considered and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment is entered dismissing this

case without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

Peter Oppeneer, Clerk of Court

10/2/12

Date

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 62 of 73

Exhibit H

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 63 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00748-wmc Document #: 77 Filed: 03/01/13 Page 1 of 4

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

WENDY ALISON NORA,

Plaintiff,

OPINION AND ORDER

v.

10-cv-748-wmc

RESIDENTIAL FUNDING COMPANY, LLC, RFC TRUST 03 LOAN POOL NUMBER RASC2002KSSCONF, GMAC-RFC HOLDING COMPANY, LLC, RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, GMAC MORTGAGE GROUP, LLC, GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC, GMAC FINANCIAL SERVICES, MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., AEGIS MORTGAGE CORPORATION, GRAY & ASSOCIATES, LLP, JAY PITNER, MICHAEL RILEY, WILLIAM N. FOSHAG, BASS & MOGLOWSKY, S.C., ARTHUR MOGLOWSKY, DAVID M. POTTEIGER, PENNY M. GENTGES, IEFFREY STEPHAN, MANISH VERMA, AMY NELSON, HOMECOMINGS FINANCIAL, LLC, ALLY FINANCIAL, INC., CERBERUS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP, KENNETH UGWUADU, and UNNAMED CO-CONSPIRATORS,

Defendants.

Before the court is plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora's motion to vacate judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60. (Dkt. #72.) The court previously dismissed her countless claims against defendants as barred by the *Rooker-Feldman*¹ doctrine and entered judgment in defendants' favor. (9/30/12 Op. & Order (dkt. #69).)

¹ D.C. Court of Appeals v. Feldman, 460 U.S. 462 (1983); Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co., 263 U.S. 413 (1923).

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 64 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00/48-wmc Document #: 77 Filed: 03/01/13 Page 2 of 4

Now, Nora seeks relief from that judgment in a seventeen-page rambling essay. As far as the court can tell, Nora seeks an order vacating the judgment because (1) the state court was without jurisdiction to adjudicate the foreclosure and, therefore, she is not a "state court loser" under *Rooker-Feldman*; (2) because of her appeal of ancillary issues in the underlying state court action, she is also not a "state court loser"; and (3) there is new evidence to support Nora's allegation of racketeering activities and she was prevented from amending her complaint to add these allegations because of an automatic stay in effect by virtue of the filing of Ch. 11 bankruptcy proceedings by certain defendants.²

As for the first assertion, Nora already argued and the court previously rejected her argument that her claims against defendants were properly before this court because the state court foreclosure action is void. Nora fails to cite any caselaw in support of this argument, and the policy underlying the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine -- that "no matter how erroneous or unconstitutional the state court judgment may be, only the Supreme Court of the United States has jurisdiction to review it," *Brown v. Bowman*, 668 F.3d 437, 442 (7th Cir. 2012) -- contradicts it. To the extent Nora's argument has any legs, it is up to the state courts to decide.

² Also before the court is Nora's motion for reconsideration (dkt. #71), in which she asks the court to correct a sentence in the motion to dismiss which states that, "Nora secured a mortgage on her home from defendant Cerberus Capital Management, L.P. (Am. Compl. (dkt. #7) ¶ 28.)." (9/30/12 Op. & Order (dkt. #69) 2.) Nora contends that the complaint alleges that AEGIS was the original mortgagee. The motion for reconsideration is denied as moot, but the court notes that ¶ 28 of plaintiff's complaint provides, "AEGIS participated in the GMAC RACKETEERING ENTERPRISE through CEREBUS [sic] as the original lender to Plaintiff and procured Plaintiff's promissory note payable to AEGIS and created MERS as its nominee for Plaintiff's mortgage. MERS was formed for the purposes described at paragraph 27., above." (Am. Compl. (dkt. #7) ¶ 28.)

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 65 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00748-wmc Document #: 77 Filed: 03/01/13 Page 3 of 4

Second, Nora argues that as long as her appeal of the orders denying her motion to vacate judgment and confirming the sheriff's sale are pending, she is not a state court loser. Nora again offers no new analysis or reasoning to support this argument -- and certainly no new evidence or law that she could not have raised in her original briefing on the motions to dismiss. For all of the reasons already explained in this court's opinion on the motions to dismiss, therefore, the court rejects this basis for vacating the judgment.

Finally, Nora argues that the judgment should be vacated because certain defendants' bankruptcy filings deprived her of the "right to amend the Complaint on the discovery of new evidence." (Pl.'s Mot. (dkt. #72) \P 69.) Even if correct, this argument suggests the court has *no* authority to vacate its judgment pending completion of or referral back from the bankruptcy proceedings any more than it would to grant a motion to amend.³

If anything, Nora's motion may raise a basis for vacating the judgment with respect to certain defendants. Defendants Residential Funding Company, LLC, GMAC-RFC Holding Company, LLC, Residential Capital, LLC, GMAC Mortgage, LLC, and Homecomings Mortgage, LLC, filed for bankruptcy on May 15, 2012. Nora alerted the court to this fact, but the court failed to appreciate its import in issuing its September 30, 2012, opinion and order, and entering judgment in favor of all defendants. Even though

³ In her motion, Nora describes this new evidence. These new allegations -- forgeries, robo-signing and the like -- simply reinforce the court's finding that Nora's causes of action concern "injuries caused by state court judgments rendered before the district court proceedings commenced and inviting district court review and rejection of those judgments." Exxon Mobile Corp. v. Saudi Basic Indus. Corp., 544 U.S. 280, 284 (2005). Indeed, if Nora had filed a motion for leave to amend her complaint based on this new evidence, the court would have denied the motion as futile.

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 66 of 73 Case: 3:10-cv-00748-wmc Document #: 77 Filed: 03/01/13 Page 4 of 4

the purpose of the automatic stay is to protect the debtor, and here the court granted those debtor defendants' motion to dismiss and entered judgment in their favor, the judgment against those five defendants may be void or voidable. *See Middle Tenn. News Co., Inc. v. Charnel of Cincinnati, Inc.*, 250 F.3d 1077, 1082 (7th Cir. 2001) ("Actions taken in violation of an automatic stay ordinarily are void."). The determination of whether the judgment is voidable and, if so, whether to annul the stay pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d), however, is best left for the bankruptcy court.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1) plaintiff Wendy Alison Nora's motion for reconsideration (dkt. #71) is DENIED AS MOOT; and
- 2) plaintiff's motion to vacate the judgment (dkt. #72) is DENIED.

Entered this 1st day of March, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

WILLIAM M. CONLEY District Judge

12-12020-mg Doc 5153-2 Filed 09/20/13 Entered 09/20/13 19:46:59 Exhibit 2 - Declaration of Lauren Graham Delehey Pg 67 of 73

Exhibit I

Exhibitg 21 of 6

Docketed: 03/27/2013

General Docket Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals

Court of Appeals Docket #: 13-1660 Nature of Suit: 3470 Civil (Rico)

Wendy Nora v. Residential Funding Company,, et al

Appeal From: Western District of Wisconsin

Fee Status: Paid

Case Type Information:

1) civil 2) private

3) -

Originating Court Information:

District: 0758-3: 3:10-cv-00748-wmc

Court Reporter: Lynette Swenson, Court Reporter
Trial Judge: William M. Conley, Chief District Court Judge

Date Filed: 11/30/2010
Date Order/Judgment:

Date NOA Filed: 03/27/2013

03/01/2013

Prior Cases:

10-3131 Date Filed: 09/13/2010 Date Disposed: 04/13/2011 Disposition: AFFIRMED

Current Cases:

None

WENDY ALISON NORA

Plaintiff - Appellant

Wendy Alison Nora Direct: 952-405-8632

[NTC Pro Se]

ACCESS LEGAL SERVICES

Suite 141

310 Fourth Avenue South Minneapolis, MN 55415

٧.

RESIDENTIAL FUNDING COMPANY, LLC

Defendant - Appellee

Phillip R. Perdew, Attorney Direct: 312-443-1712 [COR LD NTC Retained] LOCKE LORD LLP 111 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606-0000

Thomas Justin Cunningham, Attorney

Direct: 312-443-1731 [COR NTC Retained] LOCKE LORD LLP

Suite 4100

111 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606-0000

Julia C. Webb, Attorney Direct: 312-443-0404 [COR NTC Retained] LOCKE LORD LLP 111 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606-0000

GRAY & ASSOCIATES, LLP

Bryan K. Nowicki, Attorney

Defendant - Appellee

Direct: 608-229-2218 [COR LD NTC Retained]

REINHART, BOERNER, VAN DEUREN

22 E. Mifflin Street Madison, WI 53703-2020

BASS & MOGLOWSKY, S.C.

Defendant - Appellee

David Matthew Potteiger, Attorney

Direct: 414-228-6700 [COR LD NTC Retained] BASS & MOGLOWSKY, S.C.

Suite 300

501 W. Northshore Drive Milwaukee, WI 53217-0000

JEFFREY STEPHAN **Terminated:** 05/15/2013

Defendant - Appellee

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC

Defendant - Appellee

Phillip R. Perdew, Attorney

Direct: 312-443-1712 [COR LD NTC Retained]

(see above)

Thomas Justin Cunningham, Attorney

Direct: 312-443-1731 [COR NTC Retained]

(see above)

Julia C. Webb, Attorney Direct: 312-443-0404 [COR NTC Retained]

(see above)

ALLY FINANCIAL INCORPORATED

Defendant - Appellee

Thomas M. Schehr, Attorney Direct: 313-568-6629

[COR LD NTC Retained] DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC

37th Floor

400 Renaissance Center Detroit, MI 48243-0000

GMAC MORTGAGE GROUP LLC

Defendant - Appellee

Thomas M. Schehr, Attorney Direct: 313-568-6629

[COR LD NTC Retained]

(see above)

CERBERUS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP

Defendant - Appellee

Phillip R. Perdew, Attorney Direct: 312-443-1712

[COR LD NTC Retained]

(see above)

WENDY A. NORA,
Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

RESIDENTIAL FUNDING COMPANY, LLC, et al.,
Defendants - Appellees

03/27/2013	1	Private civil case docketed. Fee paid. Docketing Statement due for Appellant Wendy A. Nora by 04/03/2013. Transcript information sheet due by 04/10/2013. Appellant's brief due on or before 05/06/2013 for Wendy A. Nora. [1] [6474516] [13-1660] (AM)
03/27/2013		THIS CAUSE CONSISTS OF MORE THAN 5 PARTIES FOR EITHER SIDE. The following are those parties to this cause as reflected on the District Court docket, yet are not reflected on the Appellate docket/caption for administrative purposes: APPELLEES: RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF; GMAC-RFC Holding Company, LLC; GMAC Mortgage, LLC; Homecomings Financial, LLC; Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.; Kenneth Ugwuadu; and Manish Verma. APPELLEES: Jay Pitner; Michael Riley; and William N. Foshag. APPELLEES: Penny G. Gentges; David M. Potteiger; and Arthru M. Moglowsky. APPELLEES: GMAC Financial Services; Aegis Mortgage Corporation; Amy Nelson; and Unnamed Co-conspirators. [6474523-2] [6474523] [13-1660][Text edited 05/03/2013 by JR to realign additional appellees.][Edited 05/15/2013 by JAD removed Cerberus Capital Management, LP from this entry & added to the main docket.] (AM)
03/28/2013	2 1 pg, 20.57 KB	ORDER: A review of the short record reveals that this appeal involves more than one appellee represented by different counsel. Counsel for appellees are encouraged to file a joint brief and appendix or adopt parts of a co-appellee's brief. The parties are reminded that redundant and uncoordinated briefing will be stricken. See United States v. Torres, 170 F.3d 749 (7th Cir. 1999); United States v. Ashman, 964 F.2d 596 (7th Cir. 1992). [6474746] [2] [6474746] [13-1660] (ER)
04/09/2013	3 3 pg, 44.78 KB	Docketing statement filed by Appellant Wendy Alison Nora. Prior or Related proceedings: No. [3] [6477683] [13-1660] (AM)
04/23/2013	2 pg, 34.24 KB	Disclosure Statement filed by Attorney Bryan K. Nowicki for Appellee Gray & Associates, LLP. for Jay Pitner, Michael Riley, William Foshag. [4] [6480986] [13-1660] (Nowicki, Bryan)
04/23/2013	5 3 pg, 58.96 KB	Disclosure Statement filed by Attorney P. Russell Perdew for Appellees Residential Capital LLC and Residential Funding Company, LLC. for RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF; GMAC-RFC Holding Co., LLC; GMAC Mortgage, LLC; Homecomings Financial, LLC; Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.; Kenneth Ugwuadu; and Manish Verma. [5] [6481052] [13-1660] (Perdew, Phillip)
04/23/2013	6 3 pg, 59.19 KB	Disclosure Statement filed by Attorney Thomas J. Cunningham for Appellees Residential Capital LLC and Residential Funding Company, LLC. for RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF; GMAC-RFC Holding Co., LLC; GMAC Mortgage, LLC; Homecomings Financial, LLC; Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.; Kenneth Ugwuadu; and Manish Verma. Additional Parties: RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF; GMAC-RFC Holding Co., LLC; GMAC Mortgage, LLC; Homecomings Financial, LLC; Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.; Kenneth Ugwuadu; and Manish Verma. [6] [6481054] [13-1660] Date updated: 04/24/2013 Added Attorney(s) Thomas Justin Cunningham for party(s) Appellee Residential Funding Company, LLC Appellee Residential Capital LLC, in case 13-1660 per disclosure statement. Disclosure statement filed by Attorney(s) Thomas Justin Cunningham for party(s) Appellee Residential Funding Company, LLC Appellee Residential Capital LLC, in case 13-1660. [6] [6481054] [13-1660][Edited 04/24/2013 by CD to reflect addition of counsel.] (Cunningham, Thomas)
04/23/2013		Docketing statement filed by Appellee Residential Capital LLC RFC TRUST 03

i i		
	7 8 pg, 29.88 KB	LOAN POOL NUMBER RASC2002KSSCONF, MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., KENNETH UGWUADU and MANISH VERMA. Prior or Related proceedings: No. [7] [6481059] [13-1660][Edited 04/23/2013 by JAD to reflect parties that are listed in this statement.] (Perdew, Phillip)
04/23/2013	8 3 pg, 59.22 KB	Disclosure Statement filed by Attorney Julia C. Webb for Appellees Residential Capital LLC and Residential Funding Company, LLC. for RFC Trust 03 Loan Pool Number RASC2002KSSCONF; GMAC-RFC Holding Co., LLC; GMAC Mortgage, LLC; Homecomings Financial, LLC; Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.; Kenneth Ugwuadu; and Manish Verma. [8] [6481061] [13-1660] Date updated: 04/24/2013 Added Attorney(s) Julia C. Webb for party(s) Appellee Residential Funding Company, LLC Appellee Residential Capital LLC, in case 13-1660 per disclosure statement. Disclosure statement filed by Attorney(s) Julia C. Webb for party(s) Appellee Residential Funding Company, LLC Appellee Residential Capital LLC, in case 13-1660. [8] [6481061] [13-1660][Edited 04/24/2013 by CD to reflect addition of counsel.] (Webb, Julia)
04/23/2013	9 2 pg, 10.2 KB	Docketing statement filed by Appellee Gray & Associates, LLP. Prior or Related proceedings: No. [9] [6481066] [13-1660] (Nowicki, Bryan)
04/24/2013	10 2 pg, 76.48 KB	Disclosure Statement filed by Attorney David M. Potteiger for Appellee Bass & Moglowsky, S.C for Arthur M. Moglowsky, Penny G. Gentges, David M. Potteiger. [10] [6481148] [13-1660] (Potteiger, David)
04/24/2013	11 2 pg, 44.46 KB	Docketing statement filed by Appellee Bass & Moglowsky, S.C Prior or Related proceedings: No. [11] [6481149] [13-1660] (Potteiger, David)
04/30/2013	12 122 pg, 6.12 MB	Pro se motion filed by Appellant Wendy Alison Nora to dismiss this appeal as taken from nonfinal decisions and orders which have not been brought before this court on rule 54(b) certification from the United States District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin and alternative motion to extend the time for filing the initial brief of the plaintiff-appellant for good cause shown. [12] [6482843] [13-1660] (JK)
05/01/2013	13 1 pg, 52.22 KB	ORDER: "MOTION TO DISMISS THIS APPEAL AS TAKEN FROM NONFINAL DECISIONS AND ORDERS WHICH HAVE NOT BEEN BROUGHT BEFORE THIS COURT ON RULE 54(b) CERTIFICATION FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN AND ALTERNATIVE MOTION TO EXTEND THE TIME FOR FILING THE INITIAL BRIEF OF THE PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN" Appellees are ordered to file, on or before May 15, 2013, a response to appellant's motion, addressing the jurisdictional issue raised in the motion. DW [13] [6483096] [13-1660] (SM)
05/01/2013	14 3 pg, 43.54 KB	Disclosure Statement filed by Attorney Michelle K. Schindler for Ally Financial Inc Additional Parties: Ally Financial Inc [14] [6483225] [13-1660][Edited 05/01/2013 by JAD to add attorney Michelle Schindler to the docket.] (Schindler, Michelle)
05/01/2013	15 3 pg, 43.56 KB	Disclosure Statement filed by Attorney Michelle K. Schindler for GMAC Mortgage Group LLC. Additional Parties: GMAC Mortgage Group LLC. [15] [6483229] [13-1660][Edited 05/01/2013 by JAD to add attorney Michelle Schindler to the docket.] (Schindler, Michelle)
05/01/2013	16 2 pg, 11.55 KB	Docketing statement filed by Appellees Ally Financial Incorporated and GMAC Mortgage Group LLC. Prior or Related proceedings: No. [16] [6483248] [13-1660] (Schindler, Michelle)
05/15/2013	17 27 pg, 639.32 KB	Filed Motion for Noninvolvement in Appeal by Jeffrey Stephan. [6486396] [13-1660] (Schwab, Gregory)
05/15/2013	18 1 pg, 95.49 KB	Order issued GRANTING motion for non-involvement, filed on May 15, 2013. The defendant Jeffrey Stephan is not involved in this appeal. The clerk shall modify the docket accordingly. [17] CMD [18] [6486498] [13-1660] (FP)
05/15/2013	19 2 pg, 92.22 KB	Disclosure Statement filed by Attorney P. Russell Perdew for Cerberus Capital Management, LP. [19] [6486512] [13-1660] (Perdew, Phillip)

05/15/2013	20 37 pg, 468.86 KB	Filed Response by Appellee Cerberus Capital Management, LP to Appellant's Motion to Dismiss Appeal. [20][6486549] [13-1660] (Perdew, Phillip)
05/15/2013	21 2 pg, 96.12 KB	Disclosure Statement filed by Attorney Thomas J. Cunningham for Appellee Cerberus Capital Management, LP. [21] [6486550] [13-1660] (Cunningham, Thomas)
05/15/2013	22 2 pg, 95.97 KB	Disclosure Statement filed by Attorney Julia C. Webb for Appellee Cerberus Capital Management, LP. [22] [6486553] [13-1660] (Webb, Julia)
05/15/2013	23 2 pg, 10.31 KB	Filed Response by Appellee Gray & Associates, LLP to Appellant's Motion to Dismiss Appeal. [23][6486564] [13-1660] (Nowicki, Bryan)
05/15/2013	24 2 pg, 42.72 KB	Filed Response by Appellee Bass & Moglowsky, S.C. to Appellant's Motion to Dismiss. [24][6486567] [13-1660] (Potteiger, David)
05/15/2013	25 3 pg, 12.66 KB	Filed Response in Opposition by Appellees Ally Financial Incorporated and GMAC Mortgage Group LLC Attorney Michelle K. Schindler to Appellant's Motion to Dismiss Appeal. [25][6486569] [13-1660] (Schindler, Michelle)
05/30/2013	26 1 pg, 20.74 KB	ORDER: Plaintiff-appellant Wendy Alison Nora shall file, on or before June 7, 2013, a Statement informing the court whether she wants to unequivocally dismiss her appeal pursuant to Rule 42(b) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure. Appellant's Statement need not explain why she wants to dismiss her appeal if that is what she wants to do; she can just do it. (See order for details) DW [26] [6489747] [13-1660] (ER)
06/07/2013	27 4 pg, 173.93 KB	Pro se motion filed by Appellant Wendy Alison Nora to reset briefing schedule. [27] [6491908] [13-1660] (EF)
06/11/2013	28 6 pg, 267.22 KB	Filed Appellant Wendy Alison Nora statement in response to order of May 30, 2013. [28] [6492541] [13-1660] (EF)
06/14/2013	29 2 pg, 9.87 KB	Appearance form filed by Attorney Thomas M. Schehr for Appellees Ally Financial Incorporated and GMAC Mortgage Group LLC. [29] [6493634] [13-1660]][Edited 06/14/2013 by ER - terminated attorney Michelle K. Schindler and added attorney Thomas M. Schehr] (Schehr, Thomas)
07/02/2013	30 3 pg, 11.18 KB	Motion filed by Appellee Cerberus Capital Management, LP Requesting Entry of Briefing Schedule. [30] [6497641] [13-1660] (Perdew, Phillip)
07/03/2013	31 2 pg, 22.48 KB	ORDER issued GRANTING the motion requesting briefing schdule. This appeal shall proceed to briefing. The briefing schedule is as follows: [30] 1. Appellant's brief due on or before 07/17/2013 for Wendy Alison Nora. 2. Appellees' respective briefs due on or before 08/16/2013 for Ally Financial Incorporated, Bass & Moglowsky, S.C., Cerberus Capital Management, LP, GMAC Mortgage Group LLC, Gray & Associates, LLP, Residential Capital, LLC and Residential Funding Company, LLC. 3. Appellant's reply brief, if any, is due on or before 08/30/2013 for Appellant Wendy Alison Nora. Counsel for appellees are encouraged to avoid unnecessary duplication by filing a joint brief or a joint appendix or by adopting parts of a co-appellee's brief. Duplicative briefing will be stricken and may result in disciplinary sanctions against counsel. (See order for further details). DW [6498157] [13-1660] (CD)
07/19/2013	32 67 pg, 2.95 MB	15 copies Appellant's brief filed by Appellant Wendy Alison Nora. Disk not required. [32] [6501872] [13-1660] (EF)
08/16/2013	33 57 pg, 654.7 KB	Submitted joint brief by P. Russell Perdew for Appellees Ally Financial Incorporated, Bass & Moglowsky, S.C., Cerberus Capital Management, LP, GMAC Mortgage Group LLC and Gray & Associates, LLP. [33] [6508531] [13-1660] (Perdew, Phillip)
08/16/2013	34 57 pg, 694 KB	Appellee's brief filed by Appellees Ally Financial Incorporated, Bass & Moglowsky, S.C., Cerberus Capital Management, LP, GMAC Mortgage Group LLC, Gray & Associates, LLP, Residential Capital, LLC and Residential Funding Company, LLC. Paper copies due on 08/23/2013 Electronically Transmitted. [34] [6508570] [13-1660] (BS)

Clear All			
Documents and Docker Documents Only	et Summary		
☑ Include Page Numbers	8		
Selected Pages: 0	Selected Size:	0 KB	(Max: 10 MB)
View Selected			

PACER Service Center						
Transaction Receipt						
7th Circuit Court of Appeals - 09/20/2013 10:29:55						
PACER Login:	mf0071 Client Code:		99992-0000367- 00407			
Description:	Docket Report (filtered)	Search Criteria:	13-1660			
Billable Pages:	4	Cost:	0.40			